

EXHIBIT 18

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF
WASHINGTON AND M7D CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CASE NO: 20-CV-189 (JSR)

PURE GROWN DIAMONDS, INC., and
IIA TECHNOLOGIES PTE. LTD. d/b/a
IIA TECHNOLOGIES,

Defendants.

CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF
WASHINGTON and M7D CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CASE NO: 20-CV-200 (JSR)

FENIX DIAMONDS, LLC,

Defendants.

The video deposition of YOGESH K. VOHRA, Ph.D.,
taken remotely via Zoom videoconference with the
witness located in Washington, DC, on July 31,
2020, commencing at approximately 10:00 a.m. ET

Reported by:

L. ALAN PEACOCK, RDR, CRC, CCR

JOB NO. 48951

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

2

1 APPEARANCES
 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF
 3 WASHINGTON AND M7D CORPORATION:

4 PERKINS COIE LLP
 5 1155 Avenue of the Americas
 6 22nd Floor
 7 New York, New York 10036
 8 212-399-8057

9 BY: SARAH E. FOWLER, ESQ.
 10 sfowler@perkinscole.com
 11 and
 12 JOSEPH W. RICIGLIANO, Ph.D.
 13 jricigliano@perkinscole.com

14 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IIA TECHNOLOGIES PTE
 15 LIMITED AND PURE GROWN DIAMONDS, INC., IN THE 189
 16 MATTER:

17 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT
 18 & DUNNER, LLP
 19 901 New York Avenue, NW
 20 Washington, DC 20001
 21 202-408-4000

22 BY: J. PRESTON LONG, ESQ.
 23 j.preston.long@finnegan.com

24 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT FENIX DIAMONDS, LLC, IN
 25 THE 200 CASE:

1 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
 2 180 North Stetson Avenue
 3 Suite 4900
 4 Chicago, Illinois 60601
 5 312-616-5600

6 BY: DAVID M. AIRAN, ESQ.
 7 dairan@leydig.com
 8 and
 9 MAX B. SNOW, ESQ.
 10 msnow@leydig.com.

11 /
 12 /

4

EXAMINATION

DEPOSITION OF YOGESH K. VOHRA, PH.D., 7-31-2020

1 By Mr. Long Page 9
 2 By Mr. Snow Page 137
 3 By Ms. Fowler Page 190
 4 By Mr. Long Page 196
 5 By Mr. Snow Page 213

DEPOSITION EXHIBITS

6 Exhibit
 7 Number

8 Exhibit 1 Subpoena to Appear for Deposition Page 10

9 Exhibit 2 List of Dr. Vohra's Publications Page 14

10 Exhibit 3 Dissertation entitled "Synthesis and Characterization of Metastable Phases

11 of Carbon" by Thomas Greene McCauley

12 Exhibit 4 Article Entitled "Spatially Resolved In Situ Diagnostics for

13 Plasma-Enhanced Chemical Vapor

14 Deposition Film Growth"

15 Exhibit 5 Thesis by Gopi Krishna Samudrala Page 67

16 entitled "Multivariable Study on

17 Homoepitaxial Growth of Diamond on

18 Planar and Non-Planar Substrates

19 Exhibit 6 Catalog for Mikron 2-Color Page 70

20 Non-Contact Infrared Temperature

21 Transmitters

22 Exhibit 7 Dissertation Entitled: Page 70

23 Micro-Structure and Mechanical

24 Properties of Diamond Films on

25 T1-6AL-4V Alloy by Shane A. Catledge

Exhibit 8 Andrew Israel Thesis titled "A Page 74

Detailed Investigation of Microwave

plasma Assisted Chemical Vapor

Deposition Diamond Growth Parameters"

3

5

APPEARANCES (Continued)

ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:

1 UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA OFFICE OF COUNSEL
 2 500 University Boulevard East
 3 Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35401
 4 205-348-5861

5 BY: DAVID MELLON, Ph.D.
 6 dmellon@uasystem.edu

7 COURT REPORTER:

8 L. ALAN PEACOCK, FAPR, CRC, CCR, RDR
 9 Realtime Systems Administrator

10 ALSO PRESENT:

11 NAN MARSHALL, Henderson Legal Services

12 VIDEOGRAPHER:

13 CARRIE HOWARD

14 - - -

EXHIBITS (Continued)

1 Exhibit 9 Thesis Entitled "Multiple Twinning Page 87

2 and Nitrogen Defect Center in

3 Chemical Vapor Deposited

4 Homoepitaxial Diamond by Chih-Shiue

5 Yan

6 Exhibit 10 Article Entitled "Very High Growth ... Page 119

7 Rate Chemical Vapor Deposition of

8 Single-Crystal Diamond"

9 Exhibit 11 078 Provisional Patent Application ... Page 127

10 Exhibit 12 US Patent 6,858,078 B2 Page 132

11 Exhibit 13 Patent US 2009/0297429 Page 171

12 Exhibit 101 US Patent 5,628,824 Page 138

13 Exhibit 102 US Patent 5,292,371 Page 141

14 Exhibit 103 Article Entitled: "Multiple Page 180

15 Twinning and Nitrogen Defect Center

16 in Chemical Vapor Deposited

17 Homoepitaxial Diamond"

18 Exhibit 104 Article Entitled "Growth of Diamond .. Page 184

19 Anvils for High-Pressure Research by

20 Chemical Vapor Deposition

21 Exhibit 105 Article Entitled "Multiple Page 186

22 Substrate Microwave Plasma-Assisted

23 Chemical Vapor Deposition Single

24 Crystal Diamond Synthesis"

25 Exhibit 106 Article Entitled "The Influence of ... Page 186

Recess Depth and Crystallographic

Orientation of Seed Sides on

Homoepitaxial Growth of CVD Single

Crystal Diamonds"

Exhibit 107 Article Entitled "Growth Strategies .. Page 187

for Large and High Quality- Single

Crystal Diamond Substrates"

Exhibit 108 Article Entitled "Synthetic Diamond .. Page 173

Crystal Strength Enhancement Through

Annealing at 50 Kbar and 1500 C"

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

<p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>1 EXHIBITS (Continued) 2 Exhibit 109 Declaration and Power of Attorney Page 173 3 for Patent Application"</p> <p>4 Exhibit 110 Combined Declaration for Patent Page 173 5 Application and Power of Attorney"</p> <p>6 - - -</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. And will 09:09:12 2 the court reporter now please swear in the 09:09:12 3 witness. 09:09:12</p> <p>4 MR. AIRAN: There are more appearances. 09:09:12 5 This is David Airan on from Leydig, Voit & 09:09:12 6 Mayer on behalf of Fenix Diamonds, LLC, in 09:09:14 7 the 200 case. And with me is Max Snow, also of 09:09:17 8 Leydig Voit & Mayer, also representing Fenix 09:09:21 9 Diamonds, LLC. 09:09:25</p> <p>10 MR. MELLON: Although you can't see me, 09:09:27 11 this is David Mellon, M-E-L-L-O-N, counsel for 09:09:27 12 Dr. Vohra. 09:09:32</p> <p>13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Now, will the 09:09:40 14 court reporter please swear in the witness. 09:09:41</p> <p>15 THE COURT REPORTER: My name is Alan 09:09:43 16 Peacock with Henderson Legal Services. I am an 09:09:43 17 Alabama Certified Court Reporter. My license 09:09:43 18 number is AL013, and my license is available 09:09:43 19 for inspection. 09:09:43</p> <p>20 At this time, do all parties agree to 09:09:43 21 waive any objection now or in the future to the 09:09:43 22 reporter swearing in the witness remotely? 09:09:43</p> <p>23 Please so indicate. 09:09:43</p> <p>24 MR. LONG: No objection here. 09:09:43</p> <p>25 MR. AIRAN: No objection on behalf of 09:09:43</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins Volume I, 09:07:48 2 Disk 1, in the video deposition of Yogesh Vohra 09:07:49 3 taken in the matter of, Case 1, Carnegie 09:07:51 4 Institution, et al., versus Pure Grown 09:07:58 5 Diamonds, et al. We also have Case 2, which is 09:08:02 6 Carnegie Institution, et al., vs Fenix 09:08:07 7 Diamonds, et al., in the United States District 09:08:07 8 Court, Southern District of New York. 09:08:08</p> <p>9 Today's date is July 31. The time is 09:08:10 10 9:08 a.m. This deposition is being held 09:08:13 11 remotely by Live Litigation. We're physically 09:08:17 12 recording in Lexington, Kentucky. 09:08:21</p> <p>13 The court reporter today is Alan Peacock, 09:08:23 14 and the videographer today is myself, Carrie 09:08:25 15 Howard. Both are presenting on behalf of 09:08:29 16 Henderson Legal Services. 09:08:31</p> <p>17 Will counsel please introduce themselves 09:08:33 18 and state whom they represent. 09:08:35</p> <p>19 MR. LONG: This is J. Preston Long for the 09:08:38 20 defendants IIA Technologies PTE Limited and 09:08:41 21 Pure Grown Diamonds, Inc., in the 189 matter. 09:08:46</p> <p>22 MS. FOWLER: This is Sarah Fowler of 09:08:54 23 Perkins Couie, on behalf of the plaintiffs 09:08:55 24 Carnegie Institution of Washington and M7D 09:08:57 25 Corporation. And with me is Joseph Ricigliano. 09:09:01</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>1 Fenix. 09:09:43</p> <p>2 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 09:09:43 3 I would ask the witness to please raise 09:09:43 4 your right hand and face the camera. 09:09:43</p> <p>5 YOGESH K. VOHRA, PH.D., 09:09:43 6 the witness, having been first duly sworn 09:09:43 7 to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 09:09:43 8 the truth, testified as follows: 09:09:43</p> <p>9 EXAMINATION 09:09:43</p> <p>10 BY MR. LONG: 09:10:32</p> <p>11 Q. So let me first start, Dr. Vohra, by 09:10:33 12 saying thank you for being here today. There are 09:10:36 13 probably any number of things you would rather be 09:10:39 14 doing today; so for what it's worth, we appreciate 09:10:41 15 your time. 09:10:43</p> <p>16 Is this your first deposition? 09:10:44</p> <p>17 A. That's correct. 09:10:47</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So I just want to run through a few 09:10:49 19 guidelines to make sure everything goes smoothly. I 09:10:52 20 think that the court reporter has already mentioned 09:10:55 21 it's best if we don't talk over one another so that 09:10:57 22 the court reporter can take down our conversation 09:11:00 23 and there's no cross talk. Is that okay? 09:11:02</p> <p>24 A. That's fine. 09:11:07</p> <p>25 Q. From time to time, I probably will ask a 09:11:09</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>1 EXHIBITS (Continued) 2 Exhibit 109 Declaration and Power of Attorney Page 173 3 for Patent Application"</p> <p>4 Exhibit 110 Combined Declaration for Patent Page 173 5 Application and Power of Attorney"</p> <p>6 - - -</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. And will 09:09:12 2 the court reporter now please swear in the 09:09:12 3 witness. 09:09:12</p> <p>4 MR. AIRAN: There are more appearances. 09:09:12 5 This is David Airan on from Leydig, Voit & 09:09:12 6 Mayer on behalf of Fenix Diamonds, LLC, in 09:09:14 7 the 200 case. And with me is Max Snow, also of 09:09:17 8 Leydig Voit & Mayer, also representing Fenix 09:09:21 9 Diamonds, LLC. 09:09:25</p> <p>10 MR. MELLON: Although you can't see me, 09:09:27 11 this is David Mellon, M-E-L-L-O-N, counsel for 09:09:27 12 Dr. Vohra. 09:09:32</p> <p>13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Now, will the 09:09:40 14 court reporter please swear in the witness. 09:09:41</p> <p>15 THE COURT REPORTER: My name is Alan 09:09:43 16 Peacock with Henderson Legal Services. I am an 09:09:43 17 Alabama Certified Court Reporter. My license 09:09:43 18 number is AL013, and my license is available 09:09:43 19 for inspection. 09:09:43</p> <p>20 At this time, do all parties agree to 09:09:43 21 waive any objection now or in the future to the 09:09:43 22 reporter swearing in the witness remotely? 09:09:43</p> <p>23 Please so indicate. 09:09:43</p> <p>24 MR. LONG: No objection here. 09:09:43</p> <p>25 MR. AIRAN: No objection on behalf of 09:09:43</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins Volume I, 09:07:48 2 Disk 1, in the video deposition of Yogesh Vohra 09:07:49 3 taken in the matter of, Case 1, Carnegie 09:07:51 4 Institution, et al., versus Pure Grown 09:07:58 5 Diamonds, et al. We also have Case 2, which is 09:08:02 6 Carnegie Institution, et al., vs Fenix 09:08:07 7 Diamonds, et al., in the United States District 09:08:07 8 Court, Southern District of New York. 09:08:08</p> <p>9 Today's date is July 31. The time is 09:08:10 10 9:08 a.m. This deposition is being held 09:08:13 11 remotely by Live Litigation. We're physically 09:08:17 12 recording in Lexington, Kentucky. 09:08:21</p> <p>13 The court reporter today is Alan Peacock, 09:08:23 14 and the videographer today is myself, Carrie 09:08:25 15 Howard. Both are presenting on behalf of 09:08:29 16 Henderson Legal Services. 09:08:31</p> <p>17 Will counsel please introduce themselves 09:08:33 18 and state whom they represent. 09:08:35</p> <p>19 MR. LONG: This is J. Preston Long for the 09:08:38 20 defendants IIA Technologies PTE Limited and 09:08:41 21 Pure Grown Diamonds, Inc., in the 189 matter. 09:08:46</p> <p>22 MS. FOWLER: This is Sarah Fowler of 09:08:54 23 Perkins Couie, on behalf of the plaintiffs 09:08:55 24 Carnegie Institution of Washington and M7D 09:08:57 25 Corporation. And with me is Joseph Ricigliano. 09:09:01</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>1 Fenix. 09:09:43</p> <p>2 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 09:09:43 3 I would ask the witness to please raise 09:09:43 4 your right hand and face the camera. 09:09:43</p> <p>5 YOGESH K. VOHRA, PH.D., 09:09:43 6 the witness, having been first duly sworn 09:09:43 7 to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 09:09:43 8 the truth, testified as follows: 09:09:43</p> <p>9 EXAMINATION 09:09:43</p> <p>10 BY MR. LONG: 09:10:32</p> <p>11 Q. So let me first start, Dr. Vohra, by 09:10:33 12 saying thank you for being here today. There are 09:10:36 13 probably any number of things you would rather be 09:10:39 14 doing today; so for what it's worth, we appreciate 09:10:41 15 your time. 09:10:43</p> <p>16 Is this your first deposition? 09:10:44</p> <p>17 A. That's correct. 09:10:47</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So I just want to run through a few 09:10:49 19 guidelines to make sure everything goes smoothly. I 09:10:52 20 think that the court reporter has already mentioned 09:10:55 21 it's best if we don't talk over one another so that 09:10:57 22 the court reporter can take down our conversation 09:11:00 23 and there's no cross talk. Is that okay? 09:11:02</p> <p>24 A. That's fine. 09:11:07</p> <p>25 Q. From time to time, I probably will ask a 09:11:09</p>

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

<p style="text-align: center;">42</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>power; right?</td><td>10:03:27</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>A. That's correct.</td><td>10:03:29</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>Q. If you want to grow over larger</td><td>10:03:36</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>areas -- strike that.</td><td>10:03:38</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>What effects does the microwave power</td><td>10:03:49</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>density have on growth characteristics of the</td><td>10:03:51</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>diamond?</td><td>10:03:53</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>A. I think it's really a complicated</td><td>10:03:57</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>question, but in general I would say that the higher</td><td>10:04:01</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>growth rates have been achieved when the pressure is</td><td>10:04:06</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>around 200 torr. And that's very low. Most of the</td><td>10:04:10</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>gem manufacturing has taken place at 200, 250 torr.</td><td>10:04:18</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>So -- but another parameter is, of course,</td><td>10:04:24</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>the gaseous chemistry. So it's really a</td><td>10:04:29</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>complicated, complicated thing to consider what</td><td>10:04:33</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>effect different parameters have. There's</td><td>10:04:38</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>multiparameter space, and generally it would require</td><td>10:04:41</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>a lot of innovations, and that work which was</td><td>10:04:44</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>carried out in committee which achieved the gem</td><td>10:04:53</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>diamond growth.</td><td>10:04:56</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>Q. But in terms of the microwave power</td><td>10:04:58</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>density, did your students find that a higher</td><td>10:05:01</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>microwave plasma density improved the growth rate?</td><td>10:05:05</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>A. I don't think we have really -- if you</td><td>10:05:13</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>look over Dr. McCauley's thesis, we really never --</td><td>10:05:18</td></tr> </table>	1	power; right?	10:03:27	2	A. That's correct.	10:03:29	3	Q. If you want to grow over larger	10:03:36	4	areas -- strike that.	10:03:38	5	What effects does the microwave power	10:03:49	6	density have on growth characteristics of the	10:03:51	7	diamond?	10:03:53	8	A. I think it's really a complicated	10:03:57	9	question, but in general I would say that the higher	10:04:01	10	growth rates have been achieved when the pressure is	10:04:06	11	around 200 torr. And that's very low. Most of the	10:04:10	12	gem manufacturing has taken place at 200, 250 torr.	10:04:18	13	So -- but another parameter is, of course,	10:04:24	14	the gaseous chemistry. So it's really a	10:04:29	15	complicated, complicated thing to consider what	10:04:33	16	effect different parameters have. There's	10:04:38	17	multiparameter space, and generally it would require	10:04:41	18	a lot of innovations, and that work which was	10:04:44	19	carried out in committee which achieved the gem	10:04:53	20	diamond growth.	10:04:56	21	Q. But in terms of the microwave power	10:04:58	22	density, did your students find that a higher	10:05:01	23	microwave plasma density improved the growth rate?	10:05:05	24	A. I don't think we have really -- if you	10:05:13	25	look over Dr. McCauley's thesis, we really never --	10:05:18	<p style="text-align: center;">44</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>cannot extrapolate.</td><td>10:07:04</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Q. Okay. I will turn to -- I'm turning to</td><td>10:07:14</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>what is marked page 142 of Vohra Exhibit 3, and it</td><td>10:07:27</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>begins down at the bottom of the page.</td><td>10:07:32</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>It says "Reported linear growth rates have</td><td>10:07:37</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>been shown to depend on areal power density and to a</td><td>10:07:42</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>lesser extent" -- and he goes on to page 144 -- "to</td><td>10:07:46</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>a lesser extent on pressure. As shown in Figure 4.3</td><td>10:07:51</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>the growth rate scales roughly linearly with areal</td><td>10:07:55</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>power density," and shown on the previous page,</td><td>10:08:00</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>page 143. Do you understand what Dr. McCauley is</td><td>10:08:04</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>talking about there?</td><td>10:08:09</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>A. I think these are really not the actual</td><td>10:08:12</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>plasma densities. He talks about areal, and he is</td><td>10:08:14</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>only talking about one dimension, which is along the</td><td>10:08:20</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>axis of that substrate. So it's really not a true</td><td>10:08:23</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>measure of the power density where you photograph</td><td>10:08:27</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>the plasma, have the input power and have the plasma</td><td>10:08:32</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>density.</td><td>10:08:36</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>Q. What are the units of power density in a</td><td>10:08:38</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>microwave plasma?</td><td>10:08:41</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>A. It could be for -- an example would be a</td><td>10:08:45</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>kilowatt per cc for -- I don't recall what this</td><td>10:08:48</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>Péclet number is here. I think this is something</td><td>10:09:02</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>different. It's not the plasma density.</td><td>10:09:04</td></tr> </table>	1	cannot extrapolate.	10:07:04	2	Q. Okay. I will turn to -- I'm turning to	10:07:14	3	what is marked page 142 of Vohra Exhibit 3, and it	10:07:27	4	begins down at the bottom of the page.	10:07:32	5	It says "Reported linear growth rates have	10:07:37	6	been shown to depend on areal power density and to a	10:07:42	7	lesser extent" -- and he goes on to page 144 -- "to	10:07:46	8	a lesser extent on pressure. As shown in Figure 4.3	10:07:51	9	the growth rate scales roughly linearly with areal	10:07:55	10	power density," and shown on the previous page,	10:08:00	11	page 143. Do you understand what Dr. McCauley is	10:08:04	12	talking about there?	10:08:09	13	A. I think these are really not the actual	10:08:12	14	plasma densities. He talks about areal, and he is	10:08:14	15	only talking about one dimension, which is along the	10:08:20	16	axis of that substrate. So it's really not a true	10:08:23	17	measure of the power density where you photograph	10:08:27	18	the plasma, have the input power and have the plasma	10:08:32	19	density.	10:08:36	20	Q. What are the units of power density in a	10:08:38	21	microwave plasma?	10:08:41	22	A. It could be for -- an example would be a	10:08:45	23	kilowatt per cc for -- I don't recall what this	10:08:48	24	Péclet number is here. I think this is something	10:09:02	25	different. It's not the plasma density.	10:09:04
1	power; right?	10:03:27																																																																																																																																																					
2	A. That's correct.	10:03:29																																																																																																																																																					
3	Q. If you want to grow over larger	10:03:36																																																																																																																																																					
4	areas -- strike that.	10:03:38																																																																																																																																																					
5	What effects does the microwave power	10:03:49																																																																																																																																																					
6	density have on growth characteristics of the	10:03:51																																																																																																																																																					
7	diamond?	10:03:53																																																																																																																																																					
8	A. I think it's really a complicated	10:03:57																																																																																																																																																					
9	question, but in general I would say that the higher	10:04:01																																																																																																																																																					
10	growth rates have been achieved when the pressure is	10:04:06																																																																																																																																																					
11	around 200 torr. And that's very low. Most of the	10:04:10																																																																																																																																																					
12	gem manufacturing has taken place at 200, 250 torr.	10:04:18																																																																																																																																																					
13	So -- but another parameter is, of course,	10:04:24																																																																																																																																																					
14	the gaseous chemistry. So it's really a	10:04:29																																																																																																																																																					
15	complicated, complicated thing to consider what	10:04:33																																																																																																																																																					
16	effect different parameters have. There's	10:04:38																																																																																																																																																					
17	multiparameter space, and generally it would require	10:04:41																																																																																																																																																					
18	a lot of innovations, and that work which was	10:04:44																																																																																																																																																					
19	carried out in committee which achieved the gem	10:04:53																																																																																																																																																					
20	diamond growth.	10:04:56																																																																																																																																																					
21	Q. But in terms of the microwave power	10:04:58																																																																																																																																																					
22	density, did your students find that a higher	10:05:01																																																																																																																																																					
23	microwave plasma density improved the growth rate?	10:05:05																																																																																																																																																					
24	A. I don't think we have really -- if you	10:05:13																																																																																																																																																					
25	look over Dr. McCauley's thesis, we really never --	10:05:18																																																																																																																																																					
1	cannot extrapolate.	10:07:04																																																																																																																																																					
2	Q. Okay. I will turn to -- I'm turning to	10:07:14																																																																																																																																																					
3	what is marked page 142 of Vohra Exhibit 3, and it	10:07:27																																																																																																																																																					
4	begins down at the bottom of the page.	10:07:32																																																																																																																																																					
5	It says "Reported linear growth rates have	10:07:37																																																																																																																																																					
6	been shown to depend on areal power density and to a	10:07:42																																																																																																																																																					
7	lesser extent" -- and he goes on to page 144 -- "to	10:07:46																																																																																																																																																					
8	a lesser extent on pressure. As shown in Figure 4.3	10:07:51																																																																																																																																																					
9	the growth rate scales roughly linearly with areal	10:07:55																																																																																																																																																					
10	power density," and shown on the previous page,	10:08:00																																																																																																																																																					
11	page 143. Do you understand what Dr. McCauley is	10:08:04																																																																																																																																																					
12	talking about there?	10:08:09																																																																																																																																																					
13	A. I think these are really not the actual	10:08:12																																																																																																																																																					
14	plasma densities. He talks about areal, and he is	10:08:14																																																																																																																																																					
15	only talking about one dimension, which is along the	10:08:20																																																																																																																																																					
16	axis of that substrate. So it's really not a true	10:08:23																																																																																																																																																					
17	measure of the power density where you photograph	10:08:27																																																																																																																																																					
18	the plasma, have the input power and have the plasma	10:08:32																																																																																																																																																					
19	density.	10:08:36																																																																																																																																																					
20	Q. What are the units of power density in a	10:08:38																																																																																																																																																					
21	microwave plasma?	10:08:41																																																																																																																																																					
22	A. It could be for -- an example would be a	10:08:45																																																																																																																																																					
23	kilowatt per cc for -- I don't recall what this	10:08:48																																																																																																																																																					
24	Péclet number is here. I think this is something	10:09:02																																																																																																																																																					
25	different. It's not the plasma density.	10:09:04																																																																																																																																																					
<p style="text-align: center;">43</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>most of the work was done on, as I said before, on</td><td>10:05:23</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's</td><td>10:05:28</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the</td><td>10:05:33</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>larger work done later on.</td><td>10:05:38</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did</td><td>10:05:44</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>they find higher input densities led to improved</td><td>10:05:48</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>growth rates?</td><td>10:05:52</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>A. Again, those were really very fundamental</td><td>10:05:53</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>studies looking at the factor of temperature and</td><td>10:05:56</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>substrate geometry. So I would say those were</td><td>10:05:58</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB</td><td>10:06:05</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for</td><td>10:06:14</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>gem diamond growth.</td><td>10:06:18</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm</td><td>10:06:19</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My</td><td>10:06:24</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it</td><td>10:06:26</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>your testimony that your students did not find that</td><td>10:06:29</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>higher microwave power densities led to improved</td><td>10:06:32</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>growth rate?</td><td>10:06:35</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>A. Higher power density -- I don't think we</td><td>10:06:38</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>ever really talked about higher power density. We</td><td>10:06:42</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>should look into this, and we never really talk</td><td>10:06:46</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>about power per cc. This is a really very</td><td>10:06:48</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked</td><td>10:06:55</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>about plasma density in this, so that's why I really</td><td>10:07:00</td></tr> </table>	1	most of the work was done on, as I said before, on	10:05:23	2	angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's	10:05:28	3	very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the	10:05:33	4	larger work done later on.	10:05:38	5	Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did	10:05:44	6	they find higher input densities led to improved	10:05:48	7	growth rates?	10:05:52	8	A. Again, those were really very fundamental	10:05:53	9	studies looking at the factor of temperature and	10:05:56	10	substrate geometry. So I would say those were	10:05:58	11	really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB	10:06:05	12	and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for	10:06:14	13	gem diamond growth.	10:06:18	14	Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm	10:06:19	15	not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My	10:06:24	16	question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it	10:06:26	17	your testimony that your students did not find that	10:06:29	18	higher microwave power densities led to improved	10:06:32	19	growth rate?	10:06:35	20	A. Higher power density -- I don't think we	10:06:38	21	ever really talked about higher power density. We	10:06:42	22	should look into this, and we never really talk	10:06:46	23	about power per cc. This is a really very	10:06:48	24	fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked	10:06:55	25	about plasma density in this, so that's why I really	10:07:00	<p style="text-align: center;">45</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>Q. Okay.</td><td>10:09:11</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>A. With some other plasma jets and plasma</td><td>10:09:11</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>torches, so this is really a different -- a</td><td>10:09:13</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>different thing to compare.</td><td>10:09:19</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Q. So you disagree with the statement that</td><td>10:09:21</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>reported linear growth rates have been shown to</td><td>10:09:23</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>depend on areal power density and, to a lesser</td><td>10:09:26</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in</td><td>10:09:30</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>your view?</td><td>10:09:33</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>A. I would not say incorrect. I think it</td><td>10:09:34</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>does not present the whole picture in terms of what</td><td>10:09:36</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>areal density really means. If it's only one</td><td>10:09:40</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture</td><td>10:09:45</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation</td><td>10:09:48</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --</td><td>10:09:50</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma</td><td>10:09:56</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>near the substrate surface important?</td><td>10:10:00</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>A. Could you repeat that question, please?</td><td>10:10:05</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma</td><td>10:10:07</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>adjacent to the substrate surface important?</td><td>10:10:11</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>A. Yes, it is. Yes.</td><td>10:10:16</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>Q. Why is that?</td><td>10:10:19</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>A. For the simple reason is that most of the</td><td>10:10:23</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth</td><td>10:10:25</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>depends on the concentration of activated species</td><td>10:10:30</td></tr> </table>	1	Q. Okay.	10:09:11	2	A. With some other plasma jets and plasma	10:09:11	3	torches, so this is really a different -- a	10:09:13	4	different thing to compare.	10:09:19	5	Q. So you disagree with the statement that	10:09:21	6	reported linear growth rates have been shown to	10:09:23	7	depend on areal power density and, to a lesser	10:09:26	8	extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in	10:09:30	9	your view?	10:09:33	10	A. I would not say incorrect. I think it	10:09:34	11	does not present the whole picture in terms of what	10:09:36	12	areal density really means. If it's only one	10:09:40	13	dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture	10:09:45	14	the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation	10:09:48	15	is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --	10:09:50	16	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:09:56	17	near the substrate surface important?	10:10:00	18	A. Could you repeat that question, please?	10:10:05	19	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:10:07	20	adjacent to the substrate surface important?	10:10:11	21	A. Yes, it is. Yes.	10:10:16	22	Q. Why is that?	10:10:19	23	A. For the simple reason is that most of the	10:10:23	24	chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth	10:10:25	25	depends on the concentration of activated species	10:10:30
1	most of the work was done on, as I said before, on	10:05:23																																																																																																																																																					
2	angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's	10:05:28																																																																																																																																																					
3	very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the	10:05:33																																																																																																																																																					
4	larger work done later on.	10:05:38																																																																																																																																																					
5	Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did	10:05:44																																																																																																																																																					
6	they find higher input densities led to improved	10:05:48																																																																																																																																																					
7	growth rates?	10:05:52																																																																																																																																																					
8	A. Again, those were really very fundamental	10:05:53																																																																																																																																																					
9	studies looking at the factor of temperature and	10:05:56																																																																																																																																																					
10	substrate geometry. So I would say those were	10:05:58																																																																																																																																																					
11	really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB	10:06:05																																																																																																																																																					
12	and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for	10:06:14																																																																																																																																																					
13	gem diamond growth.	10:06:18																																																																																																																																																					
14	Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm	10:06:19																																																																																																																																																					
15	not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My	10:06:24																																																																																																																																																					
16	question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it	10:06:26																																																																																																																																																					
17	your testimony that your students did not find that	10:06:29																																																																																																																																																					
18	higher microwave power densities led to improved	10:06:32																																																																																																																																																					
19	growth rate?	10:06:35																																																																																																																																																					
20	A. Higher power density -- I don't think we	10:06:38																																																																																																																																																					
21	ever really talked about higher power density. We	10:06:42																																																																																																																																																					
22	should look into this, and we never really talk	10:06:46																																																																																																																																																					
23	about power per cc. This is a really very	10:06:48																																																																																																																																																					
24	fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked	10:06:55																																																																																																																																																					
25	about plasma density in this, so that's why I really	10:07:00																																																																																																																																																					
1	Q. Okay.	10:09:11																																																																																																																																																					
2	A. With some other plasma jets and plasma	10:09:11																																																																																																																																																					
3	torches, so this is really a different -- a	10:09:13																																																																																																																																																					
4	different thing to compare.	10:09:19																																																																																																																																																					
5	Q. So you disagree with the statement that	10:09:21																																																																																																																																																					
6	reported linear growth rates have been shown to	10:09:23																																																																																																																																																					
7	depend on areal power density and, to a lesser	10:09:26																																																																																																																																																					
8	extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in	10:09:30																																																																																																																																																					
9	your view?	10:09:33																																																																																																																																																					
10	A. I would not say incorrect. I think it	10:09:34																																																																																																																																																					
11	does not present the whole picture in terms of what	10:09:36																																																																																																																																																					
12	areal density really means. If it's only one	10:09:40																																																																																																																																																					
13	dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture	10:09:45																																																																																																																																																					
14	the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation	10:09:48																																																																																																																																																					
15	is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --	10:09:50																																																																																																																																																					
16	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:09:56																																																																																																																																																					
17	near the substrate surface important?	10:10:00																																																																																																																																																					
18	A. Could you repeat that question, please?	10:10:05																																																																																																																																																					
19	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:10:07																																																																																																																																																					
20	adjacent to the substrate surface important?	10:10:11																																																																																																																																																					
21	A. Yes, it is. Yes.	10:10:16																																																																																																																																																					
22	Q. Why is that?	10:10:19																																																																																																																																																					
23	A. For the simple reason is that most of the	10:10:23																																																																																																																																																					
24	chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth	10:10:25																																																																																																																																																					
25	depends on the concentration of activated species	10:10:30																																																																																																																																																					
<p style="text-align: center;">43</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>most of the work was done on, as I said before, on</td><td>10:05:23</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's</td><td>10:05:28</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the</td><td>10:05:33</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>larger work done later on.</td><td>10:05:38</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did</td><td>10:05:44</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>they find higher input densities led to improved</td><td>10:05:48</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>growth rates?</td><td>10:05:52</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>A. Again, those were really very fundamental</td><td>10:05:53</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>studies looking at the factor of temperature and</td><td>10:05:56</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>substrate geometry. So I would say those were</td><td>10:05:58</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB</td><td>10:06:05</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for</td><td>10:06:14</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>gem diamond growth.</td><td>10:06:18</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm</td><td>10:06:19</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My</td><td>10:06:24</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it</td><td>10:06:26</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>your testimony that your students did not find that</td><td>10:06:29</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>higher microwave power densities led to improved</td><td>10:06:32</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>growth rate?</td><td>10:06:35</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>A. Higher power density -- I don't think we</td><td>10:06:38</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>ever really talked about higher power density. We</td><td>10:06:42</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>should look into this, and we never really talk</td><td>10:06:46</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>about power per cc. This is a really very</td><td>10:06:48</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked</td><td>10:06:55</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>about plasma density in this, so that's why I really</td><td>10:07:00</td></tr> </table>	1	most of the work was done on, as I said before, on	10:05:23	2	angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's	10:05:28	3	very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the	10:05:33	4	larger work done later on.	10:05:38	5	Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did	10:05:44	6	they find higher input densities led to improved	10:05:48	7	growth rates?	10:05:52	8	A. Again, those were really very fundamental	10:05:53	9	studies looking at the factor of temperature and	10:05:56	10	substrate geometry. So I would say those were	10:05:58	11	really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB	10:06:05	12	and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for	10:06:14	13	gem diamond growth.	10:06:18	14	Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm	10:06:19	15	not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My	10:06:24	16	question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it	10:06:26	17	your testimony that your students did not find that	10:06:29	18	higher microwave power densities led to improved	10:06:32	19	growth rate?	10:06:35	20	A. Higher power density -- I don't think we	10:06:38	21	ever really talked about higher power density. We	10:06:42	22	should look into this, and we never really talk	10:06:46	23	about power per cc. This is a really very	10:06:48	24	fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked	10:06:55	25	about plasma density in this, so that's why I really	10:07:00	<p style="text-align: center;">45</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>1</td><td>Q. Okay.</td><td>10:09:11</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>A. With some other plasma jets and plasma</td><td>10:09:11</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>torches, so this is really a different -- a</td><td>10:09:13</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>different thing to compare.</td><td>10:09:19</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Q. So you disagree with the statement that</td><td>10:09:21</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>reported linear growth rates have been shown to</td><td>10:09:23</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>depend on areal power density and, to a lesser</td><td>10:09:26</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in</td><td>10:09:30</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>your view?</td><td>10:09:33</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>A. I would not say incorrect. I think it</td><td>10:09:34</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>does not present the whole picture in terms of what</td><td>10:09:36</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>areal density really means. If it's only one</td><td>10:09:40</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture</td><td>10:09:45</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation</td><td>10:09:48</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --</td><td>10:09:50</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma</td><td>10:09:56</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td>near the substrate surface important?</td><td>10:10:00</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>A. Could you repeat that question, please?</td><td>10:10:05</td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td>Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma</td><td>10:10:07</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>adjacent to the substrate surface important?</td><td>10:10:11</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>A. Yes, it is. Yes.</td><td>10:10:16</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>Q. Why is that?</td><td>10:10:19</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>A. For the simple reason is that most of the</td><td>10:10:23</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth</td><td>10:10:25</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>depends on the concentration of activated species</td><td>10:10:30</td></tr> </table>	1	Q. Okay.	10:09:11	2	A. With some other plasma jets and plasma	10:09:11	3	torches, so this is really a different -- a	10:09:13	4	different thing to compare.	10:09:19	5	Q. So you disagree with the statement that	10:09:21	6	reported linear growth rates have been shown to	10:09:23	7	depend on areal power density and, to a lesser	10:09:26	8	extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in	10:09:30	9	your view?	10:09:33	10	A. I would not say incorrect. I think it	10:09:34	11	does not present the whole picture in terms of what	10:09:36	12	areal density really means. If it's only one	10:09:40	13	dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture	10:09:45	14	the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation	10:09:48	15	is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --	10:09:50	16	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:09:56	17	near the substrate surface important?	10:10:00	18	A. Could you repeat that question, please?	10:10:05	19	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:10:07	20	adjacent to the substrate surface important?	10:10:11	21	A. Yes, it is. Yes.	10:10:16	22	Q. Why is that?	10:10:19	23	A. For the simple reason is that most of the	10:10:23	24	chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth	10:10:25	25	depends on the concentration of activated species	10:10:30
1	most of the work was done on, as I said before, on	10:05:23																																																																																																																																																					
2	angles which are only human hair sized tip. So it's	10:05:28																																																																																																																																																					
3	very, very hard to extrapolate these results to the	10:05:33																																																																																																																																																					
4	larger work done later on.	10:05:38																																																																																																																																																					
5	Q. What about Mr. Israel and Dr. Yan? Did	10:05:44																																																																																																																																																					
6	they find higher input densities led to improved	10:05:48																																																																																																																																																					
7	growth rates?	10:05:52																																																																																																																																																					
8	A. Again, those were really very fundamental	10:05:53																																																																																																																																																					
9	studies looking at the factor of temperature and	10:05:56																																																																																																																																																					
10	substrate geometry. So I would say those were	10:05:58																																																																																																																																																					
11	really -- the work done later on by Dr. Yan at UAB	10:06:05																																																																																																																																																					
12	and Carnegie that resulted in the breakthrough for	10:06:14																																																																																																																																																					
13	gem diamond growth.	10:06:18																																																																																																																																																					
14	Q. My question is not about, you know -- I'm	10:06:19																																																																																																																																																					
15	not sure what you mean by "the breakthrough." My	10:06:24																																																																																																																																																					
16	question is just -- let me ask it this way: Is it	10:06:26																																																																																																																																																					
17	your testimony that your students did not find that	10:06:29																																																																																																																																																					
18	higher microwave power densities led to improved	10:06:32																																																																																																																																																					
19	growth rate?	10:06:35																																																																																																																																																					
20	A. Higher power density -- I don't think we	10:06:38																																																																																																																																																					
21	ever really talked about higher power density. We	10:06:42																																																																																																																																																					
22	should look into this, and we never really talk	10:06:46																																																																																																																																																					
23	about power per cc. This is a really very	10:06:48																																																																																																																																																					
24	fundamental plasma parameter study. We never talked	10:06:55																																																																																																																																																					
25	about plasma density in this, so that's why I really	10:07:00																																																																																																																																																					
1	Q. Okay.	10:09:11																																																																																																																																																					
2	A. With some other plasma jets and plasma	10:09:11																																																																																																																																																					
3	torches, so this is really a different -- a	10:09:13																																																																																																																																																					
4	different thing to compare.	10:09:19																																																																																																																																																					
5	Q. So you disagree with the statement that	10:09:21																																																																																																																																																					
6	reported linear growth rates have been shown to	10:09:23																																																																																																																																																					
7	depend on areal power density and, to a lesser	10:09:26																																																																																																																																																					
8	extent, pressure? That's an incorrect statement in	10:09:30																																																																																																																																																					
9	your view?	10:09:33																																																																																																																																																					
10	A. I would not say incorrect. I think it	10:09:34																																																																																																																																																					
11	does not present the whole picture in terms of what	10:09:36																																																																																																																																																					
12	areal density really means. If it's only one	10:09:40																																																																																																																																																					
13	dimension of the plasma, it really doesn't capture	10:09:45																																																																																																																																																					
14	the whole picture. I'm not saying this correlation	10:09:48																																																																																																																																																					
15	is incorrect; however, this is not the complete --	10:09:50																																																																																																																																																					
16	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:09:56																																																																																																																																																					
17	near the substrate surface important?	10:10:00																																																																																																																																																					
18	A. Could you repeat that question, please?	10:10:05																																																																																																																																																					
19	Q. Is the areal power density of the plasma	10:10:07																																																																																																																																																					
20	adjacent to the substrate surface important?	10:10:11																																																																																																																																																					
21	A. Yes, it is. Yes.	10:10:16																																																																																																																																																					
22	Q. Why is that?	10:10:19																																																																																																																																																					
23	A. For the simple reason is that most of the	10:10:23																																																																																																																																																					
24	chemical reactions which lead to diamond growth	10:10:25																																																																																																																																																					
25	depends on the concentration of activated species	10:10:30																																																																																																																																																					

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

46	48
<p>1 near the plasma surface and near the substrate 2 surface. 3 Q. And so does the areal density of the 4 microwave plasma near the substrate surface affect 5 the growth rate? 6 A. I don't really recall this argument fully 7 here. I really don't -- whether we ever quantified 8 the areal plasma density here. I think this is just 9 a statement without quantification. That was not 10 the focus of this thesis anyways. 11 Q. Putting aside the statement here in the 12 thesis, is it your understanding that the areal 13 power density adjacent to the substrate surface 14 affects the growth rate? 15 A. No. It might be -- and I think it's the 16 plasma density where you somehow count for the total 17 volume of the plasma -- I'm talking about the areal, 18 which is one dimensional. That's my technical 19 opinion. 20 Q. And how does the density of the microwave 21 plasma affect the growth rate? 22 A. I'm sure -- they are stirring, which has 23 to have looked at, you know, different process 24 parameters, like pressure, substrate temperature, 25 microwave power. So it's really a multiparameter</p>	<p>10:10:35 10:10:40 10:10:42 10:10:49 10:10:53 10:10:57 10:11:00 10:11:08 10:11:11 10:11:15 10:11:18 10:11:20 10:11:23 10:11:27 10:11:28 10:11:33 10:11:37 10:11:42 10:11:48 10:11:48 10:11:55 10:12:00 10:12:11 10:12:18 10:12:23</p> <p>1 to address. 2 Q. I'm turning back to -- this is page 103 in 3 Vohra Exhibit 3. It's Figure 3.4 entitled an -- I'm 4 sorry. Page 104 on Figure 3.5, titled "The overall 5 design of the substrate stage as mounted to the 6 conflat flange which forms the floor of the 7 deposition chamber." 8 Is this the design of the substrate stage 9 and the 1.2-kilowatt system? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Could you just walk me through this 12 diagram in terms of what we're seeing and how it 13 works? 14 A. You see the tube which is running through 15 the center? It carries the water upward, and it 16 kind of sprayed it against the copper block for 17 cooling that. And then the outer jacket captures 18 the water which is returning, and that's what the 19 cooling water return is. 20 Q. And then up here at the top, there's a 21 brass insert, copper heat sink, silver soldered 22 seal, copper heat sink insert and molybdenum screw. 23 Could the walk us through what those are? 24 A. The moly screw is what we call the 25 substrate holder. So to cool the moly substrate</p>
47	49
<p>1 space, and also it depends a lot on the reactive -- 2 I would hesitate to make a generalized statement 3 because it's so design specific. 4 Q. So if you change one thing in the system, 5 it might affect other things? 6 A. That's correct. I think this is really -- 7 that's why, as you see, there's a lot of 8 optimization which goes into developing the process. 9 Q. And so if you change, say, the pressure, 10 it might completely affect the process and get a 11 different growth, different properties of the 12 diamond, different growth rate? 13 A. That's correct. Generally speaking you 14 can make generalizations. But it also depends on 15 the substrate geometry as well. And one can draw 16 some general conclusions about pressure and power. 17 But I think that's specific. Those depend really on 18 the whole unit, not just one thing. 19 Q. Why does the shape and geometry of the 20 substrate matter? 21 A. That is a very complicated question. And 22 I think it's -- it's to do with the fact, you know, 23 how the actual species react and the surface and 24 also how the different exposed surfaces of diamond 25 are cooled; so it's really a very different question</p>	<p>10:12:28 10:12:35 10:12:40 10:12:43 10:12:46 10:12:48 10:12:53 10:12:56 10:13:01 10:13:03 10:13:09 10:13:11 10:13:13 10:13:14 10:13:17 10:13:21 10:13:28 10:13:32 10:13:36 10:13:38 10:13:40 10:13:44 10:13:49 10:13:56 10:13:59</p> <p>1 holder, we turn it into the copper block, and the 2 copper block is cooled. 3 Q. So the cooling in its design happens from 4 water cooling at the copper stage, which is in 5 thermal contact with the moly screw? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Then the substrate sits in or on the moly 8 screw; right? 9 A. And there's a lot of innovation around the 10 moly screw design itself; so -- 11 Q. Could you just explain what you mean? 12 A. I mean, you have your moly screw. You can 13 have a recessed stage for a diamond to sit in -- you 14 can have a diamond sitting on the flat stage, and 15 you can clamp it to cool down the edges of the 16 diamond; so there are a lot of variations of that 17 technology. 18 Q. Do you remember which ones of those your 19 students used in the 1990s? 20 A. No. He just used the flat screw here. 21 Q. What about your other students? Were 22 there different moly designs? 23 A. Yes. I'm sure there were different thesis 24 projects we had experimented -- Dr. Yan did design 25 some of the solutions for a moly substrate.</p>

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

54		56	
1 that. Because plasma species do diffuse even if 2 you're a couple of millimeters above; so I don't 3 know how much a trade-off is in terms of the growth 4 rate and the conditions with that.	10:23:58 10:24:07 10:24:08 10:24:12	1 Q. So, in other words, if you were using 100 2 sccm total flow that was, say, 5 sccm methane, 95 3 sccm hydrogen, if you went to 500 sccm total and you 4 increased the methane accordingly, I guess it would be -- what? -- 25 sccm to 475 sccm of hydrogen, and then that would -- I'm just trying to put a specific example on what you're saying.	10:26:59 10:27:03 10:27:09 10:27:18 10:27:21 10:27:28 10:27:31
5 Q. But the temperature is different? You 6 agree with that; right?	10:24:14 10:24:16	5 You increase -- you keep the ratio the same but you increase the total flow?	10:27:35 10:27:37
7 A. Yes. The temperature is definitely 8 different. You probably would have to do more 9 cooling of the substrate.	10:24:17 10:24:20 10:24:23	7 A. Yeah. I think one has to be a little bit careful. Because increasing the methane also changes how diamonds grow differently on different surfaces. So there's a little bit of a complication there on the diamond growth process itself.	10:27:39 10:27:42 10:27:48 10:27:56 10:27:59
10 Q. More cooling -- so it requires more 11 cooling if the plasma is in direct contact?	10:24:28 10:24:30	10 So I would not say like you would expect a linear increase in growth rate because the diamonds grow differently on (100) and (111) surface. So if you go into a regime of carbon concentration, then you may end up not growing much on one surface. So it's really a multiparameter space; so it does not really -- changing one parameter can give you the new answer.	10:28:03 10:28:06 10:28:12 10:28:18 10:28:22 10:28:29 10:28:33 10:28:40
12 A. If you want to maintain the same 13 temperature.	10:24:34 10:24:35	11 Q. So to increase the growth rate, but you're just not sure about how much?	10:28:41 10:28:43
14 Q. Any other differences in having the plasma 15 in direct contact versus above in terms of the 16 physics?	10:24:41 10:24:45 10:24:47	12 A. Yes. But, you know, I worry about what --	10:28:45
17 A. No. Not that I can think of without 18 having all of the qualitative data in front of me.	10:24:53 10:24:56		
19 Q. Turning back to the exhibit, the second 20 difference here it mentions is "The total gas flow 21 rate that we use is 500 sccm, higher than that used 22 in most other systems, about 100 sccm, by 23 approximately a factor 5."	10:25:00 10:25:03 10:25:06 10:25:10 10:25:15		
24 What is the significance of using more gas 25 flow?	10:25:17 10:25:20		
55		57	
1 A. Once we increase the hydrogen gas flow, we 2 have to up the methane; so it does release high 3 growth rate having more carbon species. If you keep 4 the methane to hydrogen ratio the same. So if you 5 have hydrogen flowing at a high rate, you have to -- 6 if you keep the same ratio, you have to up the 7 methane, and then that would give rise to, you know, 8 possibly high growth rate.	10:25:29 10:25:33 10:25:42 10:25:45 10:25:48 10:25:51 10:25:54 10:25:57	1 the technical jargon -- we call it alpha parameter -- for the diamond growth, which is the growth on (100) versus (111) surface. So I think one has to really see how that changes, because most of the new gem diamond growth is carried out on 100 cycles.	10:28:51 10:28:55 10:28:56 10:29:00 10:29:03 10:29:11 10:29:15 10:29:20
9 Q. Any other significance to the higher flow 10 rates?	10:25:59 10:26:05	2 Q. Checking back here, the third difference, it says "Our typical operating pressure is 90 torr; whereas, most systems operate in the neighborhood of 25-40 torr."	10:29:12 10:29:13 10:29:16 10:29:20
11 A. No. It really depends on the specific 12 reactor system we used. For this system, we 13 used 500 sccm. And it depends on your capacity and 14 a lot of other factors. It depends on the reactant 15 as well.	10:26:08 10:26:10 10:26:14 10:26:19 10:26:21	3 What is the significance in increasing the pressure?	10:29:23 10:29:25
16 Q. But just in general, if I understand you 17 correctly, increasing the flow rate means increasing 18 the amount of material you're delivering and, 19 therefore, it would increase the growth rate; right?	10:26:24 10:26:28 10:26:32 10:26:35 10:26:38	4 A. That definitely has an increase in the growth rate.	10:29:26 10:29:27
20 A. Yeah. But I think really the question is 21 not that much about -- of course the hydrogen is 22 important, but I think it also depends on the 23 activated carbon species of the plasma. So whatever 24 you give rise to higher activated species in the 25 plasma, would give rise to increased growth rate.	10:26:41 10:26:43 10:26:46 10:26:50 10:26:54	5 Q. And it says here that Dr. McCauley was able to achieve growth rates on the order of 50 to 400 microns per hour higher than ever reported in the MPCVD system. Is that an accurate statement?	10:29:29 10:29:35 10:29:38 10:29:44
		6 A. I think the caveat there, I think there are two caveats to this. One is this was really on a very small surface area, and I'm not sure in this case we ever were able to get just the diamond twinning in these conditions. So this was really not a gem diamond growth rate.	10:29:54 10:29:56 10:30:01 10:30:08 10:30:16 10:30:18
		7 Q. Forget about gem diamond. I'm just asking	10:30:24

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	78		80
1	A. Yes.	11:28:02	1
2	Q. So is it your understanding that that	11:28:03	2
3	pyrometer is a Mikron Model M77LS pyrometer?	11:28:05	3
4	A. Yes.	11:28:11	4
5	Q. Okay. Turn now to Vohra Exhibit 6. You	11:28:13	5
6	should see it on the screen here.	11:28:19	6
7	Have you seen this document before?	11:28:27	7
8	A. I might have.	11:28:31	8
9	Q. Is this picture down here the Model M77LS	11:28:36	9
10	laboratory version -- is this the pyrometer you used	11:28:41	10
11	in the 1.2-kilowatt system?	11:28:44	11
12	A. Yes. That's correct.	11:28:47	12
13	Q. So this is the pyrometer that you used to	11:28:57	13
14	measure substrate temperatures?	11:29:00	14
15	A. Yes.	11:29:06	15
16	Q. I'm sorry. What was your answer?	11:29:09	16
17	A. Yes.	11:29:11	17
18	Q. And the 2-millimeter sampling diameter,	11:29:15	18
19	what does that refer to?	11:29:19	19
20	A. That is reference to the area from which	11:29:20	20
21	the temperature reading is recorded.	11:29:26	21
22	Q. And is that -- is that something you can	11:29:33	22
23	adjust or is that something dictated by the	11:29:38	23
24	manufacturer?	11:29:40	24
25	A. That is limited by the optics on the	11:29:44	25
	79		81
1	particular model that we were using.	11:29:49	1
2	Q. Okay. And here you describe -- this is,	11:29:52	2
3	again, on page -- this is page 27 of Vohra	11:30:05	3
4	Exhibit 8. It mentions "The substrate is placed on	11:30:09	4
5	a step which allows the substrate to be elevated	11:30:13	5
6	into the plasma and heated from all directions	11:30:17	6
7	without becoming too hot."	11:30:19	7
8	Could you just describe how the samples	11:30:25	8
9	were mounted in these experiments?	11:30:27	9
10	A. This would be directly sitting on top of	11:30:30	10
11	the moly holder.	11:30:33	11
12	Q. So the sample would be before inserted	11:30:37	12
13	directly into the plasma?	11:30:39	13
14	A. Yes.	11:30:43	14
15	Q. Would you turn to page 34 of Vohra	11:30:52	15
16	Exhibit 8. Down here near the bottom, Subsection C,	11:30:57	16
17	it says "a pressure study."	11:31:06	17
18	What did Mr. Israel study in terms of	11:31:11	18
19	pressure?	11:31:15	19
20	A. I think, if I recall, he was looking at	11:31:21	20
21	the twins and the growth rate by weighing the	11:31:25	21
22	crystal before and after.	11:31:30	22
23	Q. And he tried different pressures as part	11:31:34	23
24	of his studies?	11:31:36	24
25	A. Yes.	11:31:39	25

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

		82			84
1	that there's only one grain of diamond. That's the	11:34:35	1	growth rate?	11:37:26
2	key.	11:34:41	2	A. The growth rate is obviously going up with increasing pressure.	11:37:26
3	Q. What if you saw black spots on the	11:34:41	3	Q. And what was the trend in quality here?	11:37:34
4	surface?	11:34:43	4	A. The quality is improving, but as I mentioned earlier that it is not a monocrystalline stellate growth.	11:37:42
5	A. That's definitely twinning.	11:34:44	5	The quality is definitely improved.	11:37:46
6	Q. I'm sorry?	11:34:49	6	Q. So if somebody wants to grow	11:37:52
7	A. That's definitely twinning. It's not a single crystal.	11:34:50	7	high-quality diamond at a high-growth rate, they would at least understand from this that a higher pressure would be a good idea; right?	11:37:58
8	Q. So you don't need X-ray --	11:34:52	8	A. Yes.	11:38:00
9	A. Visually, you can tell. I'm talking about using X-ray when visually you think it's free, but still you need to verify it by doing x-ray diffraction.	11:34:58	9	Q. And turning to the end of Mr. Israel's thesis, page 60 of Exhibit 8, he mentions "Here some suggestions for future work would be to have better control of the substrate temperature during growth experiments, a better thermal contact between the diamond substrate and molybdenum stage is necessary to maintain a fairly stable teach reading."	11:38:04
10	Q. And you would agree when you can see those black spots and things that it's definitely not	11:35:01	10	Do you see that?	11:38:11
11	single crystal?	11:35:05	11	A. Yes.	11:39:11
12	A. Correct.	11:35:06	12	Q. Is that something that you later explored?	11:39:17
13	Q. Okay. I will turn to the next page. This is Figure 11. Is this some of the examples that were grown?	11:35:09	13	A. Yes. Definitely I think that was as the thesis work of Dr. Yan.	11:39:19
14	A. Yes.	11:35:12	14		11:39:29
15	Q. And can you tell that there's -- that it's not single crystal by looking at these figures?	11:35:13	15		
16	A. Yes. By example, if you look at A, you do see the black marks on the surface. And same as for	11:35:15	16		
17		11:35:20	17		
18		11:35:24	18		
19		11:35:29	19		
20		11:35:30	20		
21		11:35:31	21		
22		11:35:33	22		
23		11:35:37	23		
24		11:35:40	24		
25		11:35:40	25		
		83			85
1	B. Even though in C, you see that clear thing in the center, but you see a lot of twinning on the side. So there are adjusting science experiments, but they have not yet achieved the quality of even one crystalline growth.	11:35:46	1	Q. Turning to -- this is the appendix, looking at Pages 63 to 56 of Exhibit 8, do you understand this to be a summary of all experiments during Mr. Israel's thesis?	11:39:37
2	Q. Okay. So sample C, this rim here indicates that it's just not single-crystal growth?	11:35:50	2	A. Yes.	11:39:40
3		11:35:53	3	Q. And let me just draw your attention to DP16b down here. We have both columns. We have the deposition time, average temp, temp range, pressure, methane concentration, growth rate in terms of milligrams per hour, C2-to-CH ratio and C2-to-hydrogen alpha ratio.	11:39:46
4		11:36:00	4		11:39:49
5		11:36:03	5		11:39:54
6		11:36:05	6		11:39:59
7		11:36:07	7		11:40:02
8	A. No. I don't believe it is, particularly using the X-ray criteria -- and that's what the title says, you know, 200 torr, few twins.	11:36:12	8		11:40:12
9		11:36:14	9		11:40:16
10		11:36:18	10		11:40:19
11		11:36:25	11		11:40:25
12	A. Even on the side.	11:36:25	12	So for DP16, how long was the deposition?	11:40:28
13	Q. Right. All of the stuff here on the side. This would be not single-crystal?	11:36:26	13	A. I don't recall. I think his typical experiments were maybe a couple of hours with each sample.	11:40:40
14		11:36:29	14	Q. Based on the table, what is the deposition time?	11:40:43
15	A. (No response.)	11:36:33	15	A. Oh, okay. That says 13 and a half hours.	11:40:47
16	Q. These portions around the edge of figure 11-C would be not single-crystal; correct?	11:36:35	16	Q. Thirteen and a half hours.	11:40:50
17		11:36:38	17	And what was the average temperature?	11:41:03
18		11:36:44	18	A. He basically is taking that 1071 to 1195 and averaging 1170.	11:41:05
19		11:36:52	19	Q. So during the run, the temperature ranged from 1071 degrees C to 1195 degrees C?	11:41:10
20		11:37:00	20	A. Yes.	11:41:16
21	Q. I will turn to page 38 of Exhibit 8, Table 2. Is this a table summarizing Mr. Israel's pressure experiments?	11:37:03	21		11:41:19
22		11:37:09	22		11:41:20
23	A. Yes.	11:37:11	23		11:41:25
24	Q. And so as the pressure increased from 60 to 90 to 150 to 200 torr, what was the trend and the	11:37:15	24		
25		11:37:19	25		

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

126	128
<p>1 page 3 of Vohra Exhibit 10 -- it also mentions using 2 the same stage of design producing a .6-millimeter 3 thick diamond by adding a little bit of oxygen. 4 Do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And then you have lowered the growth 7 temperature, keeping the rest of the conditions the 8 same. 9 What happened when you tried to grow below 10 a thousand degrees -- in these experiments when you 11 tried to grow below 1000 degrees C without oxygen? 12 What was the result? Do you remember? 13 A. I don't recall the specifics. But oxygen 14 was known to remove graphite deposits. So it 15 improves the crystalline quality. But the downside 16 is that it reduces the growth rate. 17 Q. So without oxygen -- I'm sorry. 18 A. So using oxygen is kind of a balance 19 between the quality and the crystal. 20 Q. So below a 1000 degrees C without oxygen, 21 you grew spherical black diamond-like carbon? 22 A. That's right. 23 Q. But when you added oxygen, it allowed you 24 to reduce the growth temperature and still get 25 diamond; right?</p>	<p>12:54:18 12:54:23 12:54:27 12:54:32 12:54:33 12:54:34 12:54:36 12:54:43 12:54:44 12:54:46 12:54:49 12:54:53 12:55:02 12:55:07 12:55:10 12:55:14 12:55:17 12:55:19 12:55:26 12:55:30 12:55:34 12:55:39 12:55:39 12:55:41 12:55:44</p> <p>1 pyrometers did you use? 2 A. I don't recall those details. 3 Q. Did you use more than one? 4 A. I don't recall. 5 Q. Do you recall ever using more than one 6 pyrometer to measure temperature in your lab? 7 A. Not at UAB. In UAB, we used only one 8 pyrometer. 9 Q. Using one pyrometer at a single spot, can 10 you determine a temperature gradient? 11 A. If you can focus on different areas, from 12 the edges to the center to the other edge, you can 13 get -- especially for a large substrate, you can get 14 a temperature gradient. 15 Q. So you have to move -- I'm sorry. Go 16 ahead. 17 A. So you can focus on different parts of a 18 diamond crystal. If you have a large substrate and 19 you have, you know, like a 2-millimeter focusing 20 area, you can manually scan the surface and get an 21 idea of the temperature gradient. 22 Q. So you have to physically move where the 23 pyrometer is pointing? 24 A. Yeah. You can just move it and focus on 25 different areas.</p>
127	129
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 MR. LONG: All right. Let me introduce 3 now Exhibit 11. 4 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 11 WAS MARKED FOR 5 IDENTIFICATION.) 6 BY MR. LONG: 7 Q. I'm going to represent to you this was the 8 Provisional Application filed for the 078 patent on 9 November 7, 2001. And I'd like to direct your 10 attention to page 6 of Exhibit 11, Figure 2. 11 Do you know what this is? 12 A. That is a substrate holder. 13 Q. Can you describe it for us, please. 14 A. There's a diamond seed in the center, and 15 then there is a molybdenum sheet around it. 16 Q. Okay. And it's touching the sides of the 17 diamond? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And the diamond seed is sticking out just 20 a little bit above it? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So is this actually physically what is 23 being described in the 078 patent, this design? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. When you measured the substrate, how many</p>	<p>12:55:46 12:55:51 12:55:56 12:55:59 12:55:59 12:56:25 12:56:26 12:56:29 12:56:36 12:56:43 12:57:00 12:57:07 12:57:12 12:57:20 12:57:22 12:57:31 12:57:33 12:57:33 12:57:37 12:57:40 12:57:41 12:57:41 12:57:44 12:57:56 12:57:56</p> <p>1 Q. Is that something you did as part of your 2 process? 3 A. Yeah. We used to measure the temperature 4 gradient all the time. 5 Q. Is that something you can control with the 6 process parameters? 7 A. Repeat that question again, please. 8 Q. Is that something you can control by 9 adjusting the microwave power or the gas flows or 10 the pressure? 11 A. You mean the uniformity of the diamond 12 temperature distribution? 13 Q. Correct. 14 A. I think it's a very complicated function 15 of the heat sinking and the nth of power. So it's 16 really hard to make a generalized statement. I 17 think it really depends on the substrate holder 18 design, which is critical in controlling the 19 uniformity of temperature. 20 Q. Did you ever design any automatic control 21 feedback loops that would adjust the temperature 22 uniformity? 23 A. Yeah. We have experimented with, you 24 know, changing the height of the diamond and have it 25 grow bigger, you pull it down, and we have</p>

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

<p style="text-align: center;">150</p> <p>1 Q. So you talked earlier about how a 01:49:07 2 two-color averages the temperatures within that 01:49:08 3 little spot. Is that right? 01:49:13</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 01:49:16</p> <p>5 Q. Or roughly average. So my question is, if 01:49:21 6 you're measuring, let's say, the center of a diamond 01:49:27 7 with this pyrometer, will the pyrometer be able to 01:49:31 8 tell you what the coldest point on the diamond is? 01:49:38</p> <p>9 A. No. 01:49:48</p> <p>10 Q. Because it's going to take an average; is 01:49:49 11 that right? 01:49:51</p> <p>12 A. Yes. 01:49:54</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Will it be able to tell you what 01:49:57 14 the hottest point on the grill surface is? 01:49:59</p> <p>15 A. Can you repeat the question? Are you 01:50:09 16 doing measuring only at the center? 01:50:12</p> <p>17 Q. No. Now let's say you move it to the 01:50:14 18 edge. Okay? Will it be able to tell you what the 01:50:17 19 hottest points on the edge is? 01:50:21</p> <p>20 A. Sure. If you can do the scanning across 01:50:24 21 the edges and you can definitely measure the 01:50:28 22 gradient within that revolution of 2 millimeters, 01:50:34 23 you can find the hot spot. With hot spots, you can 01:50:43 24 also probably target visually -- usually they come 01:50:47 25 back of the graphite formation on the edges. 01:50:50</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">152</p> <p>1 spot. Will this pyrometer be able to tell you what 01:52:49 2 the hottest temperature in that spot is? 01:52:54</p> <p>3 A. No. It will only give you an average 01:52:58 4 temperature. Because the way it works is it really 01:53:00 5 is taking a ratio of the infrared light coming out 01:53:03 6 and calculating. So you have really no way to find 01:53:07 7 the maximal or minimal temperature. 01:53:13</p> <p>8 Q. So let's say you poke this at the edge, 01:53:18 9 and then you put this at the center, you might be 01:53:22 10 getting a temperature gradient reading that is much 01:53:24 11 too low because of this averaging; is that correct? 01:53:27</p> <p>12 A. Yeah. It's definitely not accurate. 01:53:37</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. All right. All right. So let me 01:53:41 14 move on to Exhibit 11, which is the 078 patent. 01:53:49</p> <p>15 I'm sorry. Maybe it's Exhibit 12. Yeah, 01:54:06 16 it's Exhibit 12. I apologize. 01:54:13</p> <p>17 So if you took this patent, what do you 01:54:22 18 think the kind of -- the main thrust of the 01:54:25 19 invention here was? 01:54:29</p> <p>20 A. I think it was multipronged because of the 01:54:34 21 gross chemistry substrate design of the holder. 01:54:37</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. 01:54:46</p> <p>23 A. Also some of the innovation was in terms 01:54:48 24 of translation of the diamond stage. 01:54:50</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. 01:54:53</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">151</p> <p>1 Q. So when you get kind of -- I will call 01:50:57 2 it -- non-monocrystalline growth at the edges, 01:51:00 3 that's a sign of a hot spot; is that correct? 01:51:03</p> <p>4 A. I think this would be a very difficult 01:51:18 5 determination to make because you may be getting 01:51:23 6 non-diamond growth because it is not a (100) 01:51:32 7 surface, so it may not necessarily be related to 01:51:35 8 temperature. It could be that you have (111) 01:51:37 9 surface exposed. 01:51:41</p> <p>10 Q. All right. What if the 01:51:47 11 non-monocrystalline growth is on the (100) surface. 01:51:49 12 Then do you think it would be because of 01:51:56 13 temperature? 01:51:58</p> <p>14 A. It could be then because the temperature 01:51:59 15 may be too high for -- temperature be too high there 01:52:01 16 and graphite is nucleated, yeah. 01:52:05</p> <p>17 Q. So the temperature is too hot at that 01:52:08 18 location, and it's -- which is much hotter than the 01:52:12 19 center of the diamond? 01:52:18</p> <p>20 A. That's right. 01:52:21</p> <p>21 Q. So I just want to go back to this example, 01:52:26 22 briefly. Let's say you cite this pyrometer on a hot 01:52:29 23 spot, and the hot spot is at -- you know, it's hot 01:52:36 24 but it has multiple different temperatures in it. 01:52:42 25 Okay? It has a range of temperatures in the hot 01:52:45</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">153</p> <p>1 A. So it's really multiple levels. It's hard 01:54:53 2 to say this one thing. 01:54:58</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Absolutely. I want to go to -- I 01:55:00 4 want to jump to Claim 1 which is on page -- well, 01:55:15 5 it's actually on page 18, the part I'm looking at. 01:55:21 6 Let me -- I will zoom in for you. 01:55:25</p> <p>7 Do you see that, those highlights? 01:55:38</p> <p>8 A. Yes. 01:55:39</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So do you think this is something 01:55:43 10 that all diamond -- I'm sorry -- that all MPCVD 01:55:49 11 diamond chambers do? 01:55:56</p> <p>12 A. It's really not just a question of the 01:56:04 13 temperature reading; it's not just the diamond 01:56:14 14 chamber; it also depends, of course, on the heat 01:56:18 15 sink design at the substrate holder. 01:56:22</p> <p>16 Q. Sure. Absolutely. 01:56:25</p> <p>17 A. So I think there are innovations there 01:56:27 18 which reduces this temperature gradient. So it's 01:56:30 19 not just a generic block MPCVD system would do this. 01:56:37 20 You have to, of course, have the appropriate 01:56:40 21 substrate holder design to achieve that, to achieve 01:56:42 22 the results. 01:56:46</p> <p>23 Q. Right. Like the design shown in Fig. 2B? 01:56:48</p> <p>24 A. Yes. 01:56:56</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. So let's say that you have just 01:56:57</p>

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

154	156		
1 kind of the generic flat substrate design. It's 2 just a big flat plate. If you saw that in the 3 system, would you think that this -- that that 4 system was capable of performing this? 5 A. In general, you have to really have 6 appropriate design of heat sink for the diamond to 7 achieve this. 8 Q. Right. But it -- I'm sorry? 9 A. It can get quite hot. So, yeah, an 10 average chamber would not do that. 11 Q. Okay. Why will the edges get so hot if 12 you're using a flat substrate holder? 13 A. I think it's a question of heat sinking 14 the sides of the diamond crystal. So if the edges 15 are freestanding, then there is a chance of having a 16 thermal runaway, then you have some graphite 17 formation which is conducting, and it's more like an 18 antenna. 19 Q. What if you have -- scratch that. So do 20 you think it would be possible to grow gem-quality- 21 single-crystal diamond without doing this, 22 performing this feature? 23 MS. FOWLER: Object to the form. Calls 24 for speculation. 25 THE WITNESS: I really don't know whether	01:57:00 01:57:07 01:57:15 01:57:20 01:57:25 01:57:29 01:57:34 01:57:41 01:57:42 01:57:46 01:57:49 01:57:56 01:58:00 01:58:04 01:58:11 01:58:18 01:58:21 01:58:25 01:58:39 01:58:44 01:58:49 01:58:53 01:59:16 01:59:17 01:59:17	1 Q. Okay. So let's talk about when you grow 2 diamonds that are -- I will call it a large central 3 crystal in the middle surrounded by polycrystalline 4 diamond. I think you indicated that some of your 5 experiments might produce that result; is that 6 correct? 7 A. Yeah. Do you mean if we start with a 8 single-crystal diamond and we have some 9 polycrystalline growth at the edges? 10 Q. Yes. So you start with a single-crystal 11 seed, and you grow upwards, and what you get is 12 single-crystal in the center and polycrystalline 13 surrounding it? 14 A. Yes. That can happen, yes. 15 Q. Okay. When that happens, do you think 16 that the temperature difference between, you know, 17 the coldest point and the hottest point on the 18 growth surface was less than 20 degrees Celsius? 19 A. Again, it is -- you know, I cannot 20 generalize for different CVD reactors and different 21 designs. 22 Q. Sure. 23 A. So I cannot make an equal statement that 24 this is really -- this is, of course, one way to 25 have CVD Celsius temperature gradient, but there	02:01:03 02:01:06 02:01:13 02:01:16 02:01:23 02:01:25 02:01:27 02:01:30 02:01:32 02:01:36 02:01:39 02:01:43 02:01:47 02:01:48 02:01:51 02:01:56 02:02:03 02:02:06 02:02:24 02:02:29 02:02:34 02:02:35 02:02:36 02:02:44 02:02:48
155	157		
1 this is really a necessary condition. There 2 may be other factors. So it's really hard for 3 me to make any -- this definitely helps. But 4 to say this is the only condition is also not 5 -- not correct. 6 BY MR. LONG: 7 Q. So there are other ways of growing 8 gem-quality single-crystal diamonds other than doing 9 this? 10 A. Again, without knowing the details, I 11 cannot say one way or the other. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. It depends on the design of the reactor, 14 design of the substrate holder, and definitely 15 reducing the thermal gradient helps. 16 Q. Okay. Absolutely. Do you think that the 17 reactors in your lab currently perform this 18 functionality? 19 A. You know, we have several different 20 reactors at UAB, so it depends on the design. And 21 in some experiments, we can get that. But when we 22 are growing the quality crystalline diamond, we 23 really don't care about these temperature gradients; 24 so in our homoepitaxial diamond growth experiments, 25 we can get that.	01:59:25 01:59:29 01:59:32 01:59:37 01:59:45 01:59:49 01:59:49 01:59:51 01:59:54 01:59:55 01:59:57 01:59:59 02:00:00 02:00:03 02:00:08 02:00:13 02:00:16 02:00:23 02:00:27 02:00:29 02:00:45 02:00:49 02:00:52 02:00:54 02:01:00	1 could be other factors as well to grow CVD diamond. 2 But what you are asking, if you don't have the 3 degree, you will always get polycrystalline growth, 4 I don't know about that. 5 Q. But let's say you do get, you know, a 6 significant amount of polycrystalline growth. 7 A. That could be due to several reasons: One 8 is the temperature. Another could be the geometry 9 of the substrate as well. How many (inaudible) 10 exposed to the plasma? That's really complicated 11 situation to make a definitive statement. 12 Q. Absolutely. So when you're talking about 13 the growth surface here, let's say you have a 14 diamond with essential crystal surrounded by 15 polycrystalline diamond. What would you consider 16 the growth surface to be? 17 A. Most of the growth studies are done with 18 one little bit of surface. So I would say that, you 19 know, the growth surface, at least in the center 20 part, is (100). 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. And so that's where, you know, most of the 23 single-crystal diamond growth experiments are done. 24 And on (111) surfaces, you know, if you 25 grow for a while, they always show cracking. So	02:02:58 02:03:03 02:03:09 02:03:13 02:03:15 02:03:19 02:03:24 02:03:28 02:03:31 02:03:37 02:03:42 02:03:50 02:03:54 02:03:59 02:04:04 02:04:08 02:04:15 02:04:16 02:04:22 02:04:25 02:04:31 02:04:32 02:04:33 02:04:41 02:04:43

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

<p>1 Q. Okay. 02:13:01</p> <p>2 A. This was not done in my lab, so I don't 02:13:02</p> <p>3 want to make any inaccurate statements. 02:13:05</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. That makes sense. I will stop 02:13:08</p> <p>5 asking about it. 02:13:11</p> <p>6 All right. I'm going to jump down to -- 02:13:19</p> <p>7 this is Column 6 on page 13. And here I'm going to 02:13:26</p> <p>8 highlight something. Can you read that sentence for 02:13:41</p> <p>9 me, please? 02:13:52</p> <p>10 A. "Precise control over growth surface 02:13:53</p> <p>11 temperatures and growth surface temperature 02:13:55</p> <p>12 gradients prevents the formation of polycrystalline 02:13:57</p> <p>13 diamond or twins such that a large single crystal 02:14:02</p> <p>14 diamond can be grown." 02:14:06</p> <p>15 Q. So can you explain in different words what 02:14:08</p> <p>16 you interpret that sentence to mean? 02:14:12</p> <p>17 A. What it really means is that -- I think we 02:14:18</p> <p>18 have been discussing during this deposition the 02:14:28</p> <p>19 surface temperature control either through microwave 02:14:31</p> <p>20 power adjustments or to, you know, the diamond stage 02:14:36</p> <p>21 and cross process can be controlled. 02:14:42</p> <p>22 Q. Sure. 02:14:45</p> <p>23 A. And the surface gradient can be controlled 02:14:45</p> <p>24 by a proper heat sink design of the substrate hold. 02:14:48</p> <p>25 So those are really the two key innovations in this 02:14:54</p>	<p>164</p> <p>1 equal to 20 degrees Celsius, you would need to have 02:17:06</p> <p>2 precise control over the microplasma and good heat 02:17:09</p> <p>3 sinking? Is that what you're saying? 02:17:17</p> <p>4 A. I'm saying these two things that you have 02:17:19</p> <p>5 highlighted, these are the necessary conditions, but 02:17:20</p> <p>6 they are not sufficient. You have to have the right 02:17:24</p> <p>7 plasma chemistry in addition to having these two 02:17:29</p> <p>8 things. So I'm saying these are necessary 02:17:32</p> <p>9 conditions, but they are not sufficient. 02:17:34</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Are you saying that you need to 02:17:38</p> <p>11 have precise control over growth surface temperature 02:17:40</p> <p>12 gradients to grow gem-quality diamonds? 02:17:44</p> <p>13 A. Yes. 02:17:47</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. How would you know if someone had 02:17:52</p> <p>15 precise control over the temperature gradients? 02:17:56</p> <p>16 A. I think that's really -- you have to 02:18:03</p> <p>17 really come up with a spatial resolve measurements 02:18:05</p> <p>18 of the substrate temperature. And there are -- they 02:18:08</p> <p>19 have to have better than 2 millimeters -- basically 02:18:19</p> <p>20 you are measuring the black body radiation coming 02:18:23</p> <p>21 out of -- so if you -- in principle, you can scan 02:18:26</p> <p>22 the entire surface and get a good temperature 02:18:30</p> <p>23 control or temperature measurement. 02:18:33</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. So are you saying that in order to 02:18:36</p> <p>25 perform control over growth surface temperature 02:18:39</p>
<p>163</p> <p>1 patent. That's what it really means in a nutshell. 02:14:58</p> <p>2 Q. Sure. And so what -- I guess -- I'm 02:15:02</p> <p>3 trying to get to the second portion of this sentence 02:15:06</p> <p>4 where it talks about "prevents the formation of 02:15:09</p> <p>5 polycrystalline diamond or twins." 02:15:11</p> <p>6 Do you see that? 02:15:17</p> <p>7 A. Yes. So unless those conditions are not 02:15:18</p> <p>8 satisfied -- as explained in this patent, you can 02:15:22</p> <p>9 get polycrystalline growth, and that is a limiting 02:15:31</p> <p>10 factor for growing large crystals. 02:15:35</p> <p>11 Q. So if you had -- would it be accurate to 02:15:39</p> <p>12 say that if you have polycrystalline diamonds or 02:15:45</p> <p>13 twins, you didn't exert precise control over growth 02:15:52</p> <p>14 surface temperature gradients? Would that be 02:15:58</p> <p>15 correct? 02:16:02</p> <p>16 A. And if your plasma chemistry is not 02:16:04</p> <p>17 correct. If you have too much carbon -- so, again, 02:16:08</p> <p>18 I think this is really a multivariable thing. It's 02:16:12</p> <p>19 hard to pin down on the temperature gradient and the 02:16:18</p> <p>20 unique form of temperature. Your plasma chemistry 02:16:21</p> <p>21 also has to be correct for single-crystal growth. 02:16:27</p> <p>22 So it's combined with the plasma chemistry. 02:16:30</p> <p>23 Q. So if we could just focus on these. Are 02:16:47</p> <p>24 you trying to say that you need -- in order to 02:16:56</p> <p>25 control all temperature gradients to less than or 02:17:00</p>	<p>165</p> <p>1 gradients, you would need to perform temperature 02:18:43</p> <p>2 gradient measurement? 02:18:48</p> <p>3 A. Yes. Yes, you have to correct the 02:18:54</p> <p>4 temperature gradients and monitor that temperature 02:18:57</p> <p>5 during that entire gross run. 02:19:00</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. So you need to measure the 02:19:04</p> <p>7 temperature gradients throughout the entire growth 02:19:07</p> <p>8 run, is that -- 02:19:10</p> <p>9 A. Yes. And also control the absolutely 02:19:12</p> <p>10 average temperature over time. 02:19:15</p> <p>11 Q. Right. Okay. So down here it says 02:19:20</p> <p>12 that -- let me delete this. Here it says "The 02:19:45</p> <p>13 ability to control all temperature gradients across 02:19:53</p> <p>14 the growth surface is influenced by several 02:19:55</p> <p>15 factors," and it lists some factors and then it 02:20:00</p> <p>16 talks about the detection capabilities of the 02:20:05</p> <p>17 infrared pyrometer. 02:20:08</p> <p>18 Do you see that? 02:20:10</p> <p>19 A. Yes. 02:20:19</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So can you explain what the patent 02:20:19</p> <p>21 is saying there? 02:20:25</p> <p>22 A. Again, you know, I'm not familiar with, 02:20:30</p> <p>23 you know, the spatial resolution of the pyrometer at 02:20:33</p> <p>24 Carnegie; so I cannot really say with certainty that 02:20:43</p> <p>25 you can -- it boils down to the spatial resolution 02:20:51</p>

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

178	180		
1 holder? 2 A. Yeah. That's what it would imply. 3 Q. Okay. Sure. Now, I want to go to -- oh, 4 let me ask you a question. Is there a difference in 5 surface morphology between single-crystal diamond 6 and polycrystalline diamond? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. So now I'm going to go to the same 9 document. I'm going to go to Paragraph 152. It 10 talks about temperature gradient and heat-sinking 11 holder. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. Now, it lists a few temperature 15 gradients across the growth surface. It says less 16 than 100, less than 50, 40, 30, 20, 10. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. What would a diamond with a 19 temperature gradient across the growth surface of 20 100 degrees Celsius look like compared to one with 21 10 degrees Celsius? 22 A. I really don't recall those details. 23 Q. Okay. So do you remember if you grew a 24 diamond with a temperature gradient of 100 degrees 25 Celsius?	02:46:54 02:46:58 02:47:03 02:47:22 02:47:25 02:47:29 02:47:36 02:47:40 02:47:45 02:47:57 02:48:08 02:48:15 02:48:16 02:48:22 02:48:24 02:48:27 02:48:34 02:48:38 02:48:44 02:48:47 02:48:54 02:49:00 02:49:07 02:49:12 02:49:16	1 growth surface of less than 20 degrees or 10 degrees 2 or even -- let's say less than 30 degrees. What do 3 you think you would require in order to accomplish 4 that? 5 A. I think it would be the substrate holder 6 design. 7 Q. The one that contacts the diamonds on 8 their sides? 9 A. Correct. Yes. 10 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 103 WAS MARKED FOR 11 IDENTIFICATION.) 12 BY MR. SNOW: 13 Q. Okay. So now I want to go Exhibit 103. 14 Dr. Vohra, is this -- are you an author of 15 this paper? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. Okay. And is Chih-shiue Yan, is he a 18 co-inventor of the 078 patent? 19 A. Yes, he is. 20 Q. And did you write this paper together? 21 A. Yes, we did. 22 Q. Okay. So let's go down. And I know you 23 have kind of seen maybe a little bit lower-quality 24 versions of these pictures earlier today because I 25 think that some of these were in the Yan	02:51:28 02:51:31 02:51:43 02:51:46 02:51:58 02:52:01 02:52:03 02:52:05 02:52:06 02:52:06 02:52:06 02:52:08 02:52:08 02:52:37 02:52:40 02:52:42 02:52:45 02:52:51 02:52:56 02:52:58 02:53:02 02:53:06 02:53:13 02:53:18 02:53:21
179	181		
1 A. I don't remember those details. 2 Q. So I just want to go back to -- so this 3 sentence here: "This results in samples with a 4 widely varied surface morphology indicating an 5 uneven thermal gradient across the surface." 6 So if you had a diamond that had kind of a 7 large crystal in the center and polycrystalline 8 diamond on the sides, would that indicate a widely 9 varied surface morphology? 10 A. Not necessarily. Because you could have 11 the center portion small with (100) growth, and then 12 on the edges, you may have -- so your surface 13 morphology in the center may be very small, but you 14 still have polycrystalline on the outside. 15 Q. What about the transition region between 16 the single-crystal in the center and the polycrystal 17 on the side? 18 A. That would be a case of a different 19 morphology. 20 Q. So that would be a case of different 21 surface morphologies? Is that what you said? 22 A. Yes. That's correct. 23 Q. Okay. Great. So let's say you want -- 24 going back to Paragraph 152, but let's say you 25 wanted to achieve a temperature gradient across the	02:49:17 02:49:27 02:49:38 02:49:42 02:49:44 02:49:49 02:49:56 02:50:00 02:50:05 02:50:15 02:50:17 02:50:23 02:50:27 02:50:29 02:50:35 02:50:38 02:50:43 02:50:51 02:50:55 02:50:56 02:50:58 02:51:01 02:51:02 02:51:20 02:51:23	1 dissertation. But I wanted to talk about some of 2 these pictures, if that's okay with you. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. So, first, I want us to look at this 5 diamond, DRUK1. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. So is this area in the center all one big 8 crystal? 9 A. It is. 10 Q. Okay. What's the black stuff around the 11 edges? 12 A. Most likely some graphite nucleation. 13 Q. Okay. Is this -- in your experience, is 14 this kind of a pretty good surface morphology or a 15 poor one? 16 A. I would say if you go up, DRUK2, that's a 17 better one. 18 Q. This one? 19 A. Yes. Because you can see, you are 20 beginning to develop -- remember, this is a circular 21 plate, so you have the upper edges which are (100) 22 surface on the side. So this one has a much better 23 morphology than the other one. 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. So out all of these pictures, this is the	02:53:27 02:53:29 02:53:32 02:53:38 02:53:46 02:53:48 02:53:50 02:54:02 02:54:03 02:54:05 02:54:09 02:54:13 02:54:18 02:54:29 02:54:35 02:54:37 02:54:41 02:54:46 02:54:46 02:54:49 02:54:53 02:54:57 02:55:03 02:55:05 02:55:06

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

186	188
1 amount of twinning and polycrystalline growth on the 2 side here? 03:15:05 03:15:09	1 BY MR. SNOW: 03:19:02
3 A. Yes. 03:15:10	2 Q. Okay. No problem. Jumping straight ahead 03:19:02
4 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 105 WAS MARKED FOR 03:15:10	3 to Exhibit 107 and same questions. 03:19:05
5 IDENTIFICATION.) 03:15:10	4 Are you familiar with this paper or these 03:19:13
6 BY MR. SNOW: 03:15:11	5 authors? 03:19:16
7 Q. Okay. So now let me jump to Exhibit 105. 03:15:11	6 A. Not a great familiarity. Again, I got 03:19:22
8 And so are you familiar with this paper? 03:15:20	7 this from my attorney yesterday. 03:19:28
9 A. I looked at it when I got it from my 03:15:33	8 Q. Okay. No problem. So I'm not going to go 03:19:30
10 attorney, David Mellon, yesterday. 03:15:36	9 to the text. Instead, we will go to this diamond, 03:19:31
11 Q. Did you have any thoughts on it? 03:15:41	10 Figure 10. You can see that. And now admittedly 03:19:49
12 A. I didn't spend much time reading it, but 03:15:46	11 we're understanding you're not familiar with this 03:19:56
13 I'm aware of this group's work. 03:15:51	12 paper. But given this diamond, what would you say 03:19:58
14 Q. Okay. So let's talk about Figure 2 here. 03:16:00	13 about its surface morphology? 03:20:02
15 Do you think that these show grown diamonds? 03:16:10	14 A. This one looks like good surface 03:20:05
16 A. They're all -- of course they are all CVD 03:16:19	15 morphology. You see sharp edges on the outside; so 03:20:15
17 grown, but at this resolution it is difficult to say 03:16:22	16 not that much evidence of polycrystalline growth. 03:20:18
18 that, you know, they are monocrystalline or not. 03:16:24	17 Q. And would that indicate that at least 03:20:24
19 Q. Why might they be non-monocrystalline? 03:16:31	18 possibly that the temperature gradient on the gross 03:20:28
20 A. Again, I don't see any X-ray data on this 03:16:39	19 surface was small during growth? 03:20:33
21 paper. So that's the commonality. 03:16:43	20 A. Yes. 03:20:38
22 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 106 WAS MARKED FOR 03:16:47	21 Q. Okay. Now, just briefly jumping back up 03:20:42
23 IDENTIFICATION.) 03:16:47	22 to this one. Same questions about this diamond. 03:20:47
24 BY MR. SNOW: 03:16:48	23 What do you notice about its surface morphology? 03:20:58
25 Q. Okay. Makes sense. So let's skip this 03:16:48	24 A. Obviously, you know, it is kind of rough 03:21:02
	25 at the edges, polycrystalline growth. Yeah. So 03:21:04
187	189
1 and go straight to Exhibit 106. Let me start at the 2 top. Are you familiar with this paper? 03:16:51 03:16:58	1 definitely a lot different than the one you showed 03:21:12
3 A. Again, I got it from the attorney 03:17:04	2 before. 03:21:15
4 yesterday and I really have glanced through it. 03:17:10	3 Q. Right. And what would this tell you -- 03:21:18
5 Q. Okay. No problem. So I'm not going to 6 talk about this in detail, but it's just some 7 pictures here, Figure A and Figure B or Fig. 2-A and 8 Fig. 2-B. What do you see here in these photographs 9 and what are the differences between them if you're 10 able to see any? 03:17:15 03:17:19 03:17:22 03:17:34 03:17:39 03:17:42	4 what would this surface morphology tell you about 03:21:23
11 A. Well, not knowing much else, I would -- it 12 appears that the "B" is of higher quality growth. 03:17:51 03:17:54	5 the temperature gradient during growth? 03:21:28
13 Q. So does A have in the uneven surface 14 morphology around its edge? 03:18:01 03:18:07	6 A. Again, I think I would clearly -- without 03:21:38
15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What would that be evidence of while it 17 was growing? Or what would that tell you about his 18 growth conditions? 03:18:14 03:18:15 03:18:20 03:18:37	7 really knowing all of the details about the 03:21:44
19 A. I really cannot comment without really 20 knowing all of the details about their -- 21 Q. Okay. No problem. 22 A. -- details about their substrate holders 23 and their chemistry and all of the other details. 03:18:39 03:18:43 03:18:51 03:18:54 03:18:58 03:19:01 03:19:01	8 chemistry and the holder design for different shapes 03:21:46
24 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 107 WAS MARKED FOR 25 IDENTIFICATION.)	9 from here, I really cannot comment on that. 03:21:50
	10 Q. Okay. And this is, just quickly, the last 11 series of questions. 03:21:54 03:21:59
	12 So you mentioned here that you would need 13 to know about the holder design in order to comment 14 on the temperature gradient. Is that what you said? 03:22:02 03:22:07 03:22:11
	15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So what would you need to know about the 17 holder? 03:22:18 03:22:18
	18 A. Not just with the holder design, but also 19 the reactor design, the cooling capacity, and 20 gaseous chemistry; so I think it's hard to really 21 extrapolate just with one variable about the 22 temperature gradient. 03:22:26 03:22:29 03:22:36 03:22:41 03:22:44
	23 Q. Sure. But you mentioned the holder design 24 specifically. Would it be important for you to know 25 whether it's a side contact holder versus an open 03:22:47 03:22:51 03:22:57

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

<p>1 holder? 03:23:02</p> <p>2 A. Yes. That's correct. That would be one 03:23:03</p> <p>3 thing that you would like to know right away. 03:23:05</p> <p>4 Q. In order to know whether the temperature 03:23:08</p> <p>5 gradients were low during growth; is that correct? 03:23:10</p> <p>6 A. Yes. 03:23:14</p> <p>7 MR. SNOW: Okay. Thank you very much, 03:23:20</p> <p>8 Dr. Vohra. I think Leydig would like to 03:23:22</p> <p>9 reserve a few minutes for Cross based on this 03:23:28</p> <p>10 follower's questions, but that's all I have for 03:23:33</p> <p>11 now. Thank you very much for your time. 03:23:36</p> <p>12 MS. FOWLER: If we could take a quick 03:23:56</p> <p>13 5 minutes for me to get my notes in order. Is 03:23:58</p> <p>14 that okay with everyone? 03:23:58</p> <p>15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:23, and 03:24:00</p> <p>16 we are off the record. 03:24:02</p> <p>17 (A BRIEF RECESS WAS HELD.) 03:24:03</p> <p>18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:30 p.m., 03:30:35</p> <p>19 and we are on the record. 03:30:36</p> <p>20 EXAMINATION 03:30:40</p> <p>21 BY MS. FOWLER: 03:30:40</p> <p>22 Q. Hello again, Dr. Vohra. I'm Sarah Fowler. 03:30:41</p> <p>23 I represent the plaintiff Carnegie. Thank you very 03:30:45</p> <p>24 much for your time. I just a few quick questions, 03:30:49</p> <p>25 and I will try to make this brief. 03:30:52</p>	<p>190</p> <p>1 all factors that can influence the temperature 03:33:59</p> <p>2 gradients on the growth surface? 03:34:00</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I do. 03:34:05</p> <p>4 MS. FOWLER: And can I ask you, J.P. or 03:34:08</p> <p>5 someone, are you able to put up -- there's an 03:34:10</p> <p>6 exhibit I did not have access to. My Internet 03:34:12</p> <p>7 was out. It's Exhibit 5. Would someone else 03:34:15</p> <p>8 be able to pull that up for me? 03:34:17</p> <p>9 MR. SNOW: This is Max. I can pull it up. 03:34:31</p> <p>10 Do you want me to start sharing my screen? 03:34:35</p> <p>11 MS. FOWLER: That would be great. If you 03:34:38</p> <p>12 wouldn't mind pulling up Exhibit 5, and we will 03:34:39</p> <p>13 be going to page 22. 03:34:42</p> <p>14 MR. SNOW: Okay. Give me a second. 03:34:44</p> <p>15 MS. FOWLER: Appreciate it. Thank you. 03:34:46</p> <p>16 MR. SNOW: Sure. So page 22? 03:34:48</p> <p>17 MS. FOWLER: Page 22, that's right. 03:34:56</p> <p>18 BY MS. FOWLER: 03:35:00</p> <p>19 Q. This is Vohra Exhibit 5. Dr. Vohra, can 03:35:00</p> <p>20 you just describe what is in Figure 1.3, please? 03:35:06</p> <p>21 A. Yes. So this one has a 1.2-kilowatt 03:35:09</p> <p>22 microwave power supply. It has a coupler which 03:35:13</p> <p>23 couples the microwave to the chamber below. And it 03:35:19</p> <p>24 has that two-color pyrometer for measuring the 03:35:24</p> <p>25 diamond temperature. 03:35:30</p>
<p>191</p> <p>1 Is my screen share working? Can you see 03:31:50</p> <p>2 that? 03:31:54</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I can. 03:31:55</p> <p>4 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. 03:31:55</p> <p>5 (A DISCUSSION WAS HELD OFF THE RECORD.) 03:31:55</p> <p>6 BY MS. FOWLER: 03:32:48</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Sorry for the interruption, 03:32:51</p> <p>8 Dr. Vohra. I'd just like to direct your attention 03:32:53</p> <p>9 to Column 6 of the 078 patent here. And 03:32:59</p> <p>10 specifically at line 55 here. It's discussing 03:33:07</p> <p>11 controlling the temperature gradients. And it says 03:33:11</p> <p>12 "The ability to control all of the temperature 03:33:13</p> <p>13 gradients across the growth surface of the diamond 03:33:16</p> <p>14 136 is influenced by several factors, including the 03:33:19</p> <p>15 heat-sinking capability of the stage 124, the 03:33:22</p> <p>16 positioning of the top surface of the diamond in the 03:33:26</p> <p>17 plasma 141, the uniformity of the plasma 141 that 03:33:28</p> <p>18 the growth surface of the diamond is subjected to, 03:33:33</p> <p>19 the quality of thermal transfer from edges of the 03:33:35</p> <p>20 diamond via the holder or sheath 134 to the stage 03:33:38</p> <p>21 124, the controllability of the microwave power, 03:33:42</p> <p>22 coolant flow rate, coolant temperature, gas flow 03:33:44</p> <p>23 rates, reactant flow rate, and the detection 03:33:48</p> <p>24 capabilities of the infrared pyrometer 142." 03:33:52</p> <p>25 Would you agree, Dr. Vohra, that these are 03:33:56</p>	<p>193</p> <p>1 Q. And is this the same equipment setup that 03:35:31</p> <p>2 you testified about earlier today? 03:35:33</p> <p>3 A. Yes. 03:35:35</p> <p>4 Q. Great. And the pyrometer, it's labeled 03:35:36</p> <p>5 two-color optical pyrometer. That's to the right in 03:35:40</p> <p>6 blue; is that correct? 03:35:44</p> <p>7 A. Yes. 03:35:44</p> <p>8 Q. Can you tell me what is the approximate 03:35:46</p> <p>9 distance between the pyrometer and the reaction 03:35:49</p> <p>10 chamber? 03:35:51</p> <p>11 A. It would be approximately about 03:35:53</p> <p>12 45 centimeters. 03:35:59</p> <p>13 Q. And did that distance vary at all or has 03:36:03</p> <p>14 the pyrometer been at the same distance since you 03:36:05</p> <p>15 initially set up the lab to the present? 03:36:09</p> <p>16 A. It has been at the same distance. We 03:36:12</p> <p>17 don't change the location. The only thing that we 03:36:14</p> <p>18 do is, depending on the substrate, we adjust the 03:36:15</p> <p>19 focus a little bit. So the distance is not changed. 03:36:18</p> <p>20 Q. And how do you adjust the focus? 03:36:21</p> <p>21 A. Actually control at the back of the 03:36:25</p> <p>22 pyrometer which can adjust the focus so you can 03:36:27</p> <p>23 focus on different substrates. 03:36:30</p> <p>24 Q. Great. And then the pyrometer is aimed at 03:36:33</p> <p>25 what looks to be a viewing window? Is that what you 03:36:36</p>

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

		210			212
1	A. Yeah. That's contained in the substrate	04:04:02	1	surface temperature gradients?	04:06:35
2	design, where there's the pressure at which you	04:04:08	2		04:06:38
3	squirt the coolant at the back of the stage.	04:04:11	3		04:06:41
4	Q. At the back of the stage? So in your	04:04:16	4		04:06:43
5	view, the patent describes how to increase the	04:04:18	5		04:06:49
6	coolant flow?	04:04:21	6		04:06:52
7	A. Again, you know, without -- I have to	04:04:24	7		04:06:55
8	really read it very carefully to make a statement	04:04:29	8		04:07:02
9	like that.	04:04:32	9		04:07:03
10	Q. Do you remember inventing a way to improve	04:04:33	10		04:07:07
11	the coolant temperature?	04:04:37	11		04:07:09
12	A. The coolant temperature -- I mean, you can	04:04:42	12		04:07:13
13	use different materials for cooling and different	04:04:48	13		
14	liquids which have different feeding points; so	04:04:51	14		
15	that's -- that's one way to do this.	04:04:55	15		
16	Q. And did you describe those in the patent?	04:04:58	16		
17	A. Again, I cannot comment until I really	04:05:01	17		
18	look at it carefully.	04:05:04	18		
19	Q. How do you get the coolant temperature?	04:05:07	19		
20	Does the chiller do that?	04:05:09	20		
21	A. I mean, you can set -- basically, you can	04:05:11	21		
22	generate heat if you want higher temperatures.	04:05:19	22		
23	There's a coil which controls the coolant	04:05:21	23		
24	temperature.	04:05:25	24		
25	Q. Just so I understand, you control the	04:05:26	25		
		211			213
1	coolant temperature by putting a setting into the	04:05:30	1	sentence about controlling the temperature, what	04:07:46
2	chiller; correct?	04:05:34	2	you're talking about is the absolute temperature as	04:07:49
3	A. That's right. Basically that's a small	04:05:35	3	a function of time. Is that right?	04:07:53
4	heating coil which changes the temperature.	04:05:37	4		
5	Q. Did you invent a new chiller?	04:05:40	5	A. That's correct.	04:07:56
6	A. No. No. But I'm just saying that that's	04:05:43	6	Q. And how do you adjust the absolute	04:07:57
7	one way to modify, you know, the cooling	04:05:47	7	temperature as a function of time?	04:08:00
8	characteristics.	04:05:50	8	A. Absolute temperature as a function of time	04:08:05
9	Q. Was that new to people? Did they not know	04:05:51	9	is by adjusting either the microwave power or	04:08:06
10	how to do that?	04:05:54	10	changing the sample height. Those are the two	04:08:10
11	A. No. That is an established technology.	04:05:56	11	simpler ways of doing it.	04:08:15
12	The coolant -- the chiller and those are established	04:05:59	12	Q. And how do you control the growth surface	04:08:17
13	technologies.	04:06:01	13	temperature gradient?	04:08:24
14	Q. Right.	04:06:02	14	A. That will be with the heat sinking.	04:08:26
15	A. The power rating and for a given	04:06:03	15	Q. Okay.	04:08:29
16	application is what we adopt to a specific reactor.	04:06:06	16	A. By the design that is described in the	04:08:30
17	Q. Okay. Just one more quick question about	04:06:11	17	patent.	04:08:30
18	the sentence before. It says "Precise control over	04:06:12	18	Q. The design with the thermal contact at the	04:08:34
19	the growth surface temperatures and growth surface	04:06:17	19	edges?	04:08:36
20	temperature gradients prevents formation of	04:06:20	20	A. Yes.	04:08:36
21	polycrystalline diamond, or twins, such that a large	04:06:22	21	Q. Okay. All right. I will let -- I think	04:08:38
22	single-crystal diamond can be grown."	04:06:25	22	I'm finished. I will let Max ask if he has any	04:08:41
23	Is there a difference in surface	04:06:28	23	further questions.	04:08:45
24	temperatures and growth -- excuse me. Is there a	04:06:30	24	EXAMINATION	04:08:46
25	difference in growth surface temperatures and growth	04:06:33	25	BY MR. SNOW:	04:08:55
				Q. Dr. Vohra I have one kind of quick	04:08:56

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Vohra, Yogesh K.

July 31, 2020

55 (Pages 214 to 216)

<p>214</p> <p>1 question. I'm going to share my screen also. 2 So I'm going to Exhibit 55. I think you 3 might have seen this briefly today. But do you 4 recognize this document? 5 A. Yes. It is Ph.D. thesis of my student 6 Dr. Samudrala. 7 Q. And I'm going now to page 53 of the thesis 8 which is page 67 of the Exhibit. 9 Are you there? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Can you read this sentence and tell me 12 what it means? 13 A. "Any small variation in growth conditions 14 can lead to huge changes in the behavior of growth 15 radicals in the plasma near the substrate surface, 16 and it is nearly impossible to account for all 17 possible changes in a theoretical explanation." 18 Q. What does that mean to you? 19 A. I think it is a graduate student. They 20 are saying that it is a complicated multiparameter 21 problem. 22 MR. SNOW: Okay. That's all the questions 23 I have. So I don't know -- unless, Sarah, you 24 wanted to ask something more? 25 Sarah, we can't hear you, but you shook</p>	<p>04:08:57 04:09:11 04:09:18 04:09:22 04:09:24 04:09:28 04:09:36 04:09:56 04:10:05 04:10:06 04:10:09 04:10:11 04:10:13 04:10:16 04:10:18 04:10:21 04:10:23 04:10:31 04:10:35 04:10:39 04:10:42 04:10:48 04:10:50 04:11:05 04:11:11</p> <p>1 C E R T I F I C A T E 2 STATE OF ALABAMA) 3 MOBILE COUNTY) 4 5 I do hereby certify that the foregoing 6 proceedings were taken down by me and transcribed using 7 computer-aided transcription and that the foregoing is 8 a true and correct transcript of said proceedings. 9 I further certify that I am neither of 10 counsel nor of kin to any of the parties, nor am I in 11 anywise interested in the result of said cause. 12 I further certify that I am duly licensed by 13 the Alabama Board of Court Reporting as a Certified 14 Court Reporter. 15 Signed this 10th day of August 2020 16 17 18 19 L. ALAN PEACOCK, FAPR, CCR, RDR, CRC NCRA REALTIME SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR ALABAMA ACCR No. 13, Expires 9/30/20 MISSISSIPPI - CSR #1899, Expires 6/8/21 ILLINOIS - CSR # 084.004827, Expires 5/31/21 LOUISIANA - CCR #2015013, Expires 12/31/20 COURT REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF ALABAMA AT LARGE My Notary Commission Expires: 10/28/2023 05:20:35</p>
<p>215</p> <p>1 your head, so I'm guessing that means no. 2 MS. FOWLER: You're correct. There's 3 nothing further from plaintiffs. 4 Thanks. 5 MR. SNOW: Then I think we're finished. 6 Thank you very much for your time, Doctor. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'll do a quick 9 read-off, and then we will be okay. 10 Today is July 31, 2020. The time is 11 4:11 p.m. This completes today's deposition of 12 the Yogesh Vohra, and we are off the record. 13 (THE DEPOSITION OF YOGESH K. VOHRA, Ph.D., 14 WAS CONCLUDED AT 4:11 P.M.) 15 16 - - - 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com